ΡΙΜΟΟ

Your Global Investment Authority

EBRI – ERF Policy Forum

Are traditional measures of success for retirement plan programs adequate for either the employer or the employee?

December 2014



For institutional investor use only

Adequacy is the desired intersection of a host of ever-changing factors and constraints





Pressure points affecting stability

- Longevity
- Risk transfer in plan design
- Changing role of benefits in labor contracting
- Ex-ante vs. ex-post legal risk
- Viability of future market return assumptions
- Ability of individuals to merge own assets and draw down

Traditional Employer Objectives



"We define <u>success</u> as building and preserving purchasing power to meet retirement income needs for the <u>majority</u> of the people regardless of the economic environment"



Sample for illustrative purposes only The income replacement target illustrates an example of the percent of their income that most plan participants will need to replace at retirement.

Falling benefit coverage





SOURCE: PIMCO, Poterba (2014), Survey of Consumer Finances (2010)

¹ "Any Plan" represents households that report owning a DB Plan, a DC Plan, or and Individual Retirement Account

Income sources at retirement: The stool's legs are of vastly different length



SOURCE: PIMCO, Poterba (2014), Current Population Survey (2013), Survey of Consumer Finances (2010), Bureau of Labor Statistics (2013).

¹ Income Sources represent the mean income share multiplied by the mean income for the indicated income guartile.

² Annuitized Housing Wealth represents the actuarially fair twenty year annuity purchasable given interpolated real estate equity in the indicated income quartile subject to a 25% haircut. Annuity is calculated using the 20 year TIPS yield on the as of date.

³ Pre-retirement income and Housing Wealth by quartile represent linearly interpolated quantiles assuming that household income is 1.6 times personal income (corresponding to the ratio of median household income to median personal income in the United States).

Is there a linkage between the choice to retire and market variables?



SOURCE: Bloomberg, Bureau of Labor Statistics

Before assessing adequacy, do we need to address our assumption set?



 Market-implied long-term real yields have been below Social Security Trustee's valuation assumptions for a decade

Australia: My home is my castle First pillar system design creates its own set of risks



SOURCE: Australia Bureau of Statistics, Household Wealth and Wealth Distributions (2009-2010), Survey of Consumer Finance (2010), PIMCO All values represent the mean holdings for that quantile. US Net Worth includes the cumulative Social Security contributions of the household at age 64.

Main factors in retail retirement product selection: What are the implications for a D.I.Y. Retirement?



SOURCE: Investment Trends, Retirement Income Report 2014

Tradeoffs become trickier when you are working with legacy frameworks designed in bygone eras





- Social Security (1935)
- ERISA (1974)
- 401(k) (1980)
- Today (????)

If we fundamentally want to address adequacy, will an incremental approach work?