

# 401(k) Plan Asset Allocation, Account Balances, and Loan Activity in 2006

By Jack VanDerhei, Temple University and EBRI Fellow; Sarah Holden, ICI; Craig Copeland and Luis Alonso, EBRI

- *The average 401(k) retirement account rose for the fourth consecutive year in 2006.* Propelled by strong stock market returns, the average 401(k) account increased 17 percent in 2006, according to the annual update of the EBRI/ICI 401(k) database. The EBRI/ICI analysis is based on the largest compilation of data on participants in 401(k) plans, which now are the primary retirement savings vehicle for the vast majority of working Americans covered by retirement plans.
- Because 401(k) balances can fluctuate with market returns from year-to-year, meaningful analysis of 401(k) plans must examine how participants' accounts have performed over the long term. Looking at consistent participants in the EBRI/ICI 401(k) database over the seven-year period from 1999 to 2006 (which included one of the worst bear markets for stocks since the Great Depression):

 $\rightarrow$  The *average* 401(k) account balance increased at an annual growth rate of 8.7 percent over the period, to \$121,202 at year-end 2006.

 $\rightarrow$  The *median* 401(k) account balance (half above, half below) increased at an annual growth rate of 15.1 percent over the period, to \$66,650 at year-end 2006.

- *The bulk of 401(k) assets is invested in stocks.* On average, at year-end 2006, about two-thirds of 401(k) participants' assets are invested in equity securities through equity funds, the equity portion of balanced funds, and company stock. About one-third is in fixed-income securities such as stable value investments and bond and money market funds. These relative shares have changed little over the past 11 years.
- *401(k) participants continue to seek diversification of their investments*. The share of 401(k) accounts invested in company stock continues to shrink, falling by 2 percentage points (to 11 percent) in 2006. That continued a steady decline that started in 1999. Recently hired 401(k) participants contribute to this trend: they are less likely to hold employer stock.
- *New employees embrace lifestyle/lifecycle funds.* Across all age groups, more new or recent hires are investing their 401(k) assets in balanced funds, including "lifestyle" or "lifecycle" funds. At year-end 2006, 24 percent of the account balances of recently hired participants in their 20s were invested in balanced funds, compared with 19 percent in 2005, and about 7 percent in 1998.
- *Participants' 401(k) loan activity is modest.* In 2006, 18 percent of all 401(k) participants eligible for loans had taken a loan against their 401(k) account. Most loans tend to be small, amounting, on average, to 12 percent of the remaining account balance.

Jack VanDerhei, Temple University, is research director of the EBRI Fellows Program. Sarah Holden is senior director, Retirement and Investor Research, at the Investment Company Institute (ICI). Craig Copeland is senior research associate and Luis Alonso is research analyst at EBRI. Special thanks to Elisabeth Buser at EBRI and Carolyn Bennett at ICI, who helped format the figures.

This *Issue Brief* was written with assistance from the Institute's research and editorial staffs. Any views expressed in this report are those of the authors, and should not be ascribed to the officers, trustees, or other sponsors of EBRI, EBRI-ERF, or their staffs. Neither EBRI nor EBRI-ERF lobbies or takes positions on specific policy proposals. EBRI invites comment on this research.

This report is being published simultaneously as an *EBRI Issue Brief* and *ICI Perspective* and is available on both organizations' Web sites at <u>www.ebri.org</u> and <u>www.ici.org</u>

Note: The electronic version of this publication was created using version 6.0 of Adobe<sup>®</sup> Acrobat.<sup>®</sup> Those having trouble opening the PDF document will need to upgrade their computer to the current version of Adobe<sup>®</sup> Reader,<sup>®</sup> which can be downloaded for free at <u>www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep2.html</u>

# Table of Contents

Introduction	5
EBRI/ICI 401(k) Database	5
Sources and Type of Data	
Investment Options	
Distribution of Plans, Participants, and Assets by Plan Size	
Relationship of EBRI/ICI Database Plans to the Universe of All 401(k) Plans	
The Typical 401(k) Plan Participant	6
Changes in 401(k) Participants' Account Balances	6
Year-End 2006 Snapshot of 401(k) Participants' Account Balances	
Relationship of Age and Tenure to Account Balances	
Relationship Between Account Balances and Salary	
Year-End 2006 Snapshot of Asset Allocation	
Asset Allocation and Investment Options	
Asset Allocation by Investment Options and Age, Salary, and Plan Size	
Distribution of Equity Fund Allocations and Participant Exposure to Equities	
Distribution of Participants' Balanced Fund Allocations by Age	
Distribution of Participants' Company Stock Allocations by Age	
Asset Allocation of Recently Hired Participants	
Year-End 2006 Snapshot of 401(k) Plan Loan Activity	
Availability and Use of 401(k) Plan Loans by Plan Size	
Characteristics of Participants with Outstanding 401(k) Plan Loans	
Average Loan Balances	
References	21
Endnotes	

## Figures

Figure 1, 401(k) Plan Characteristics, by Number of Plan Pa	articipants, 20067
Figure 2, 401(k) Plan Characteristics, by Plan Asset Size, 20	
Figure 3, EBRI/ICI Database Represents Wide Cross-Section	on of 401(k) Universe8

Figure 4, More Than One-Third of 401(k) Participants Are in Their 20s or 30s or Have Short Job Tenure
Figure 5, 401(k) Account Balances Increase for Fourth Consecutive Year
Figure 6, Percentage Change in Average Account Balances Among 401(k) Participants Present From Year-End 1999 Through Year-End 2006, by Age and Tenure, 1999–200612
Figure 7, Average Account Balances Among 401(k) Participants Present From Year-End 1999 Through Year-End 2006, by Age and Tenure, 1999–200612
Figure 8, Domestic Stock Market Continues Recovery From Bear Market
Figure 9, Snapshot of Year-End Account Balances15
Figure 10, Distribution of 401(k) Account Balances, by Size of Account Balance17
Figure 11, Age Composition of Selected 401(k) Account Balance Categories
Figure 12, Tenure Composition of Selected 401(k) Account Balance Categories
Figure 13, Account Balances Increase With Age and Tenure
Figure 14, 401(k) Account Balances Less Than \$10,000, by Participant Age and Tenure20
Figure 15, 401(k) Account Balances Greater Than \$100,000, by Participant Age and Tenure20
Figure 16, Median Account Balance among Long-Tenured Participants, by Age and Salary, 2006 24
Figure 17, Ratio of 401(k) Account Balance to Salary, by Age and Tenure
Figure 18, Ratio of 401(k) Account Balance to Salary for Participants in Their 20s, by Tenure25
Figure 19, Ratio of 401(k) Account Balance to Salary for Participants in Their 60s, by Tenure25
Figure 20, 401(k) Plan Assets Concentrated in Equity Funds
Figure 21, Average Asset Allocation of 401(k) Accounts, by Participant Age
Figure 22, Distribution of 401(k) Plans, Participants, and Assets, by Investment Options26
Figure 23, Average Asset Allocation of Accounts, by Participant Age and Investment Options27
Figure 24, Average Asset Allocation of 401(k) Accounts, by Participant Salary and Investment Options
Figure 25, Average Asset Allocation of 401(k) Accounts, by Participant Plan Size and Investment Option
Figure 26, Asset Allocation Distribution of 401(k) Account Balances to Equity Funds, by Age30
Figure 27, Asset Allocation Distribution of 401(k) Plan Participant Account Balances to Equity Funds, by Age, Tenure, and Salary
Figure 28, Percentage of 401(k) Plan Participants Without Equity Fund Balances Who Have Equity Exposure, by Participant Age or Tenure, 2006
Figure 29, Average Asset Allocation for 401(k) Plan Participants Without Equity Fund Balances, by Participant Age or Tenure
Figure 30, Asset Allocation to Equities Varies Widely Among Participants
Figure 31, Asset Allocation Distribution of 401(k) Participant Account Balance to Balanced Funds, by Age
Figure 32, Asset Allocation Distribution of Participant Account Balance to Company Stock in 401(k) Plans With Company Stock, by Age

Figure 33, More Recently Hired 401(k) Plan Participants Hold Balanced Funds
Figure 34, Recently Hired Participants Now Hold Higher Concentrations in Balanced Funds
Figure 35, Asset Allocation Distribution of Account Balance to Balanced Funds Among Recently Hired Participants, by Age
Figure 36, Average Asset Allocation of 401(k) Accounts, by Participant Age and Investment Options Among Participants With Two or Fewer Years of Tenure
Figure 37, Recently Hired 401(k) Plan Participants Are Less Likely to Hold Company Stock
Figure 38, Fewer New Participants Hold High Concentrations in Company Stock
Figure 39, Asset Allocation Distribution of Recently Hired Participant Account Balance to Company Stock in 401(k) Plans With Company Stock, by Age
Figure 40, Percentage of 401(k) Plans Offering Loans, by Plan Size, 200637
Figure 41, Percentage of Eligible 401(k) Plan Participants With 401(k) Plan Loans, by Plan Size, 2006
Figure 42, Loan Balances as a Percentage of 401(k) Account Balances for Participants With 401(k) Plan Loans, by Plan Size, 2006
Figure 43, Percentage of Eligible Participants With 401(k) Loans, by Participant Age, Tenure, Account Size, or Salary, 1996, 2000, 2005, or 2006
Figure 44, Loan Balances as a Percentage of 401(k) Account Balances for Participants With Loans, by Participant Age, Tenure, Account Size, or Salary, 1996, 2000, 2005 or 2006
Figure 45, Loans From 401(k) Plans Tend to be Small

#### About the EBRI/ICI Database

The EBRI/ICI Participant-Directed Retirement Plan Data Collection Project is the largest, most representative repository of information about individual 401(k) plan participant accounts. As of Dec. 31, 2006, the EBRI/ICI database includes statistical information about:

- 20.0 million 401(k) plan participants, in
- 53,931 employer-sponsored 401(k) plans, holding
- **\$1.228 trillion** in assets.

The 2006 EBRI/ICI database covers 40 percent of the universe of 401(k) plan participants, 12 percent of plans, and 46 percent of 401(k) plan assets.

The EBRI/ICI project is unique because of its inclusion of data provided by a wide variety of plan recordkeepers and, therefore, portrays the activity of participants in 401(k) plans of varying sizes—from very large corporations to small businesses—with a variety of investment options.

## Introduction

Over the past two decades, 401(k) plans have grown to be the most widespread private-sector employersponsored retirement plan in the United States, and now serve as the most popular defined contribution (DC) plan, representing the largest number of participants and assets. In 2006, 50 million American workers were active 401(k) plan participants.<sup>1</sup> By year-end 2006, 401(k) plan assets had grown to represent 16 percent of all retirement assets, with \$2.7 trillion in assets.<sup>2</sup> In an ongoing collaborative effort, the Employee Benefit Research Institute (EBRI)<sup>3</sup> and the Investment Company Institute (ICI)<sup>4</sup> collect annual data on millions of 401(k) plan participants as a means to accurately portray how these participants manage their accounts.

This report is an update of EBRI and ICI's ongoing research into 401(k) plan participants' activity through year-end 2006.<sup>5</sup> The report is divided into five sections: The first describes the EBRI/ICI 401(k) database; the second focuses on changes in participant account balances over time, analyzing a group of consistent 401(k) participants; the third presents a snapshot of participant account balances at year-end 2006; the fourth looks at participants' asset allocations; and the fifth looks at participants' 401(k) loan activity.

## EBRI/ICI 401(k) Database

#### Sources and Type of Data

Several EBRI and ICI members provided records on active participants in 401(k) plans for which they kept records at year-end 2006. These plan recordkeepers include mutual fund companies, insurance companies, and consulting firms. Although the EBRI/ICI project has collected data from 1996 through 2006, the universe of data providers varies from year to year. In addition, the sample of plans using a given provider can change. Thus, aggregate figures in this report generally should not be used to estimate time trends, unless otherwise indicated. Records were encrypted to conceal the identity of employers and employees but were coded so that both could be tracked over multiple years. Data provided for each participant include participant date of birth, from which an age group is assigned; participant date of hire, from which a tenure range is assigned; outstanding loan balance; funds in the participant is the sum of the participant's assets in all funds.<sup>6</sup> Plan balances are constructed as the sum of all participant balances in the plan. Plan size is estimated as the sum of active participants in the plan and, as such, does not necessarily represent the total number of employees at the sponsoring firm.

#### **Investment Options**

Investment options are grouped into eight categories.<sup>7</sup> Equity funds consist of pooled investments primarily invested in stocks. These funds include equity mutual funds, bank collective trusts, life insurance separate accounts, and other pooled investments. Similarly, bond funds are any pooled account primarily invested in bonds, and balanced funds are pooled accounts invested in both stocks and bonds. Company stock is equity in the plan's sponsor (the employer). Money funds consist of those funds designed to maintain a stable share price. Stable value products, such as guaranteed investment contracts (GICs)<sup>8</sup> and other stable value funds,<sup>9</sup> are reported as one category. The "other" category is the residual for other investments, such as real estate funds. The final category, "unknown," consists of funds that could not be identified.<sup>10</sup>

#### Distribution of Plans, Participants, and Assets by Plan Size

The 2006 EBRI/ICI database contains information on 53,931 401(k) plans with \$1.228 trillion in assets and 20.0 million participants (Figure 1). Most of the plans in the database are small: 43 percent of the plans in the database have 25 or fewer participants, and 32 percent have 26 to 100 participants. In contrast, only 5 percent of the plans have more than 1,000 participants. However, participants and assets are concentrated in large plans. For example, 78 percent of participants are in plans with more than 1,000 participants, and these same plans account for 83 percent of all plan assets. Because most of the plans have a small number of

participants, the asset size for many plans is modest. About 18 percent of the plans have assets of \$250,000 or less, and another 32 percent have plan assets between \$250,001 and \$1,250,000 (Figure 2).

#### Relationship of EBRI/ICI Database Plans to the Universe of All 401(k) Plans

The 2006 EBRI/ICI database is a representative sample of the estimated universe of 401(k) plans. At year-end 2006, 401(k) plans held \$2.7 trillion in assets, and the EBRI/ICI database represents about 46 percent of that total. The year-end 2006 EBRI/ICI database also covers 40 percent of the universe of active 401(k) plan participants and 12 percent of all 401(k) plans. The distribution of assets, participants, and plans in the EBRI/ICI database for 2006 is similar to that reported for the universe of plans as estimated by Cerulli Associates (Figure 3).

#### The Typical 401(k) Plan Participant

The EBRI/ICI database includes 401(k) participants of a wide range of age and tenure. Fifty-five percent of participants are in their 30s or 40s, while 12 percent of participants are in their 20s and 8 percent are in their 60s (Figure 4). The median age of the participants in the 2006 EBRI/ICI database is 44 years, the same as in 2005. Thirty-three percent of the participants have five or fewer years of tenure, while 6 percent have more than 30 years of tenure. The median tenure at the current employer is eight years, also the same as in 2005.

## Changes in 401(k) Participants' Account Balances

The EBRI/ICI database is constructed from the administrative records of 401(k) plans. The database contains only the account balances held in the 401(k) plans at participants' current employers. Retirement savings held in plans at previous employers or rolled over into individual retirement accounts (IRAs) are not included in this analysis. Furthermore, account balances are net of unpaid loan balances. This section examines the change in account balances of a group of participants who held accounts at the end of each year from 1999 through 2006. Analyzing a group of consistent participants removes the effect of participants and plans entering and leaving the database (and/or 401(k) universe) on the overall average.<sup>11</sup> About 29 percent, or 3.0 million, of the participants with accounts at the end of 1999 had accounts at the end of each year from 1999 through 2006.<sup>12</sup>

In any given year, the change in a participant's account balance is the sum of three factors:


- New contributions by the participant and/or the employer;
- Total investment return on account balances, which depends on the performance of financial markets and on the allocation of assets in an individual's account; and
- Withdrawals, borrowing, and loan repayments.

All told, from year-end 1999 to year-end 2006, the *average* account balance among the group of consistent participants increased 79 percent, rising from \$67,760 at year-end 1999 to \$121,202 at year-end 2006 (Figure 5). This translates into an annual average growth rate of 8.7 percent over the seven-year period. The average 401(k) account balance among this group rose and fell over the course of seven years, corresponding to the overall performance of the equity markets during that period (Figure 6). For many participants, diversification of assets and ongoing contributions helped to temper the impact of equity market performance on their 401(k) account balances. The *median* account balance (or midpoint, with half above and half below) among this consistent group also grew, rising 168 percent from \$24,898 in 1999 to \$66,650 in 2006 (an annual average growth rate of 15.1 percent).

Among the consistent group, there is a wide range of individual participant experience, often influenced by the relationship among the three factors mentioned above: contributions, investment returns, and withdrawal and loan activity. Among participants who have had accounts at least since year-end 1999, participants who were younger or had fewer years of tenure experienced the largest increases in average account balance between year-end 1999 and year-end 2006. For example, the average account balance of participants in their 20s rose 1,004 percent (a 40.9 percent annual average growth rate) between the end of 1999 and the end of 2006 (Figure 6). Because younger participants' account balances tend to be small (Figure 7), contributions produce significant growth in them. In contrast, the average account balance

an Participants Total Plans 10,595 12,590 9,673 7,521 6,241 2,896 1,723 1,723 1,384 653	Total Participants 60,684 215,695 349,620 533.944	Total Assets \$3,271,136,768 \$10,352,850,461 \$16,446,217,460 \$25,091,339,115	Average Account Balance \$53,904 \$47,998 \$47,040 \$46,992
10,595 12,590 9,673 7,521 6,241 2,896 1,723 1,384 653	60,684 215,695 349,620 533,944	\$3,271,136,768 \$10,352,850,461 \$16,446,217,460 \$25,091,339,115	\$53,904 \$47,998 \$47,040 \$46,992
12,590 9,673 7,521 6,241 6,241 1,723 1,723 1,384 653	215,695 349,620 533,944	\$10,352,850,461 \$16,446,217,460 \$25,091,339,115	\$47,998 \$47,040 \$46,992
9,673 7,521 6,241 2,896 1,723 1,723 653	349,620 533,944	\$16,446,217,460 \$25,091,339,115	\$47,040 \$46,992
7,521 6,241 2,896 1,723 1,384 653	533,944	\$25,091,339,115	\$46,992
6,241 2,896 1,723 1,384 653			
2,896 1,723 1,384 653	985,744	\$47,204,381,398	\$47,887
1,723 1,384 653	1,023,244	\$48,811,260,649	\$47,702
1,384 653 240	1,222,735	\$63,068,104,508	\$51,580
653	2,155,521	\$113,965,797,333	\$52,872
010	2,290,175	\$129,899,221,263	\$56,720
5,001-10,000 2,144	2,144,822	\$141,478,240,897	\$65,963
>10,000 342 9,036	9,036,004	\$628,445,072,293	\$69,549
All 53,931 20,01	20,018,188	\$1,228,033,622,146	\$61,346
Source: Tabulations from EBRI/ICI Participant-Directed Retirement Plan Data Collection Project Note: The median account balance at year-end 2006 is \$18,986.	t Plan Data Collection F	roject.	

		Figure 2		
7	401(k) Plan (	Characteristics, b	401(k) Plan Characteristics, by Plan Assets, 2006	
Total Plan Assets	Total Plans	Total Plans Total Participants	Total Assets	Average Account Balance
\$0-\$250,000	9,749	104,130	\$995,569,641	\$9,561
>\$250,000-\$625,000	8,932	179,807	\$3,790,362,963	\$21,080
>\$625,000-\$1,250,000	8,570	274,274	\$7,775,610,380	\$28,350
>\$1,250,000-\$2,500,000	7,964	413,572	\$14,162,640,262	\$34,245
>\$2,500,000-\$6,250,000	7,979	821,817	\$31,589,372,391	\$38,438
>\$6,250,000-\$12,500,000	3,924	887,706	\$34,552,271,777	\$38,923
>\$12,500,000-\$25,000,000	2,587	1,062,639	\$45,041,610,679	\$42,387
>\$25,000,000-\$62,500,000	2,025	1,830,017	\$79,356,274,791	\$43,364
>\$62,500,000-\$125,000,000	887	1,675,914	\$77,129,176,826	\$46,022
>\$125,000,000-\$250,000,000	582	1,977,204	\$102,643,721,668	\$51,914
>\$250,000,000	732	10,791,108	\$830,997,010,768	\$77,008
All	53,931	20,018,188	\$1,228,033,622,146	\$61,346
Source: Tabulations from EBRI/ICI Participant-Directed Retirement Plan Data Collection Project Note: The median account balance at year-end 2006 is \$18,986.	rticipant-Directed Re /ear-end 2006 is \$18	tirement Plan Data Collectic ,986.	n Project.	





of older participants or those with longer tenures showed more modest growth (Figure 6). For example, the average account balance of participants in their 60s increased 29 percent (a 3.7 percent annual average growth rate) between year-end 1999 and year-end 2006 (Figure 6). Investment returns, rather than annual contributions, generally account for most of the growth in accounts with larger balances. In addition, participants in their 60s have a higher propensity to make withdrawals.<sup>13</sup>

These changes in participant account balances reflect changes in stock values during the seven-year time period. The stock market returns posted in 2006 mark the fourth consecutive year of positive returns (whether measured by the Standard and Poor's (S&P) 500 or the Russell 2000 indices), following the three-year bear market of 2000–2002 (Figure 8). For example, the S&P 500 total return index increased 15.8 percent in 2006, after rising 4.9 percent in 2005. Since year-end 2002, the S&P 500 total return index has climbed 73.3 percent and the Russell 2000 total return index has more than doubled.

## Year-End 2006 Snapshot of 401(k) Participants' Account Balances

In any given year, the EBRI/ICI database provides a snapshot of the 401(k) account balances at year-end, which reflects the entrance of new plans and new participants and the exit of participants who retire or change jobs. At year-end 2006, the average account balance was \$61,346 and the median account balance was \$18,986 (Figure 9). Because of the changing composition of the universe over time, it is not correct to presume that the change in the average or median account balance for database as a whole reflects the experience of "typical" 401(k) plan participants.


There is wide variation in 401(k) plan participants' account balances at year-end 2006. Nearly threequarters of the participants in the 2006 EBRI/ICI database have account balances that are lower than \$61,346, the size of the average account balance. In fact, 38.5 percent of participants have account balances of less than \$10,000, while 17.5 percent of participants have account balances greater than \$100,000 (Figure 10). The variation in account balances partly reflects the effects of participant age, tenure, contribution behavior, rollovers from other plans, asset allocation, withdrawals, loan activity, and employer contribution rates. Information in the EBRI/ICI database can be used to examine the relationship between account balances and participants' age, tenure, and salary.

#### **Relationship of Age and Tenure to Account Balances**

There is a positive correlation between age and account balance among participants covered by the 2006 EBRI/ICI database.<sup>14</sup> Examination of the age composition of account balances finds that 53 percent of participants with account balances of less than \$10,000 are in their 20s or 30s (Figure 11). Similarly, more than one-half of participants with account balances greater than \$100,000 are in their 50s or 60s. The positive correlation between age and account balance is expected because younger workers are likely to have lower incomes and to have had less time to accumulate a balance with their current employer. In addition, they are less likely to have in their current plan accounts rollovers from a previous employer's plan.

There is also a positive correlation between account balance and tenure among participants represented by the 2006 EBRI/ ICI database. A participant's tenure with an employer serves as a proxy for the length of time a worker has participated in the 401(k) plan.<sup>15</sup> Indeed, 58 percent of those participants with account balances of less than \$10,000 have five or fewer years of tenure, while 76 percent of those participants with account balances greater than \$100,000 have more than 10 years of tenure (Figure 12).<sup>16</sup>

Examining the interaction of both age and tenure with account balances reveals that, for a given age group, average account balances tend to increase with tenure. For example, the average account balance of participants in their 60s with up to two years of tenure is \$20,076, compared with \$190,593 for participants in their 60s with more than 30 years of tenure (Figure 13).<sup>17</sup> Similarly, the average account balance of participants in their 40s with up to two years of tenure is \$14,725, compared with \$133,321 for participants in their 40s with more than 20 years of tenure.



				Figur	e 6				
	Percentage	Change in	Average A	ccount Bal	ances Amo	ong 401(k)	Participant	s Present	
	From Y	ear-End 199	9 Through	Year-End	2006, <sup>a</sup> by A	ge and Te	nure, <sup>b</sup> 1999	-2006	
Age Group	Tenure	1999 to	2000 to	2001 to	2002 to	2003 to	2004 to	2005 to	1999 to
	(years)	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2006
20s	All	95.0%	46.0%	20.2%	59.4%	30.7%	21.9%	27.0%	1004.3%
	>5–10	108.7%	52.2%	22.8%	62.1%	32.9%	22.8%	26.8%	1208.6%
30s	All	15.3%	12.4%	0.7%	48.6%	25.6%	17.7%	23.8%	255.2%
	>5–10	40.4%	27.2%	9.4%	56.9%	30.2%	21.3%	26.0%	510.3%
	>10–20	6.8%	5.8%	-3.9%	43.6%	22.5%	15.2%	22.2%	168.9%
40s	All	2.5%	0.9%	-6.4%	36.8%	19.7%	13.3%	20.1%	115.9%
	>5–10	24.9%	16.8%	4.3%	49.4%	27.2%	19.4%	23.9%	327.8%
	>10–20	1.9%	0.6%	-7.1%	37.1%	19.7%	13.2%	20.2%	112.6%
	>20–30	-0.9%	-2.5%	-8.4%	32.3%	17.0%	10.9%	18.3%	79.6%
50s	All	0.5%	-1.4%	-7.1%	30.8%	16.4%	10.5%	17.2%	81.5%
	>5–10	24.1%	15.2%	4.6%	45.1%	26.5%	19.1%	23.3%	303.3%
	>10–20	3.4%	1.9%	-6.5%	33.7%	19.3%	13.2%	19.3%	112.2%
	>20–30	-1.1%	-3.3%	-8.3%	29.3%	15.2%	9.6%	16.7%	67.0%
	>30	-2.0%	-3.5%	-8.0%	27.6%	13.1%	7.0%	14.0%	53.2%
60s	All	-3.2%	-4.0%	-8.5%	22.5%	9.6%	3.7%	9.3%	29.3%
	>5–10	23.3%	14.8%	4.5%	40.5%	24.4%	16.1%	19.2%	258.3%
	>10–20	4.3%	0.9%	-5.2%	29.3%	16.5%	10.2%	13.8%	88.4%
	>20–30	-1.8%	-3.3%	-8.0%	23.5%	10.7%	4.6%	9.7%	36.9%
	>30	-6.2%	-6.2%	-10.2%	19.2%	6.0%	0.2%	6.7%	6.8%
All	All	0.3%	-1.0%	-6.9%	30.5%	15.8%	9.9%	16.6%	78.9%

Source: Tabulations from EBRI/ICI Participant-Directed Retirement Plan Data Collection Project.

<sup>a</sup> The analysis is based on a sample of 3.0 million participants with account balances at the end of each year from 1999 through 2006.

<sup>b</sup> Age and tenure groups are based on participant age and tenure at year-end 2006.

		Average Ad	Sount Bal	Figur		Participante	Procont		
	From Y	verage Ad ear-End 199			• • • •	•		-2006	
Age Group	Tenure (years)	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
20s	All	\$2,558	\$4,988	\$7,282	\$8,754	\$13,950	\$18,236	\$22,236	\$28,248
	5–10	\$2,147	\$4,480	\$6,817	\$8,371	\$13,568	\$18,037	\$22,158	\$28,095
30s	All	\$17,277	\$19,918	\$22,382	\$22,549	\$33,503	\$42,082	\$49,550	\$61,368
	5–10	\$9,086	\$12,760	\$16,232	\$17,757	\$27,856	\$36,263	\$43,995	\$55,453
	10–20	\$24,913	\$26,607	\$28,160	\$27,065	\$38,874	\$47,625	\$54,851	\$67,002
40s	All	\$50,147	\$51,423	\$51,908	\$48,599	\$66,490	\$79,588	\$90,149	\$108,262
	5–10	\$17,316	\$21,627	\$25,262	\$26,346	\$39,369	\$50,070	\$59,772	\$74,075
	10–20	\$49,613	\$50,574	\$50,886	\$47,258	\$64,809	\$77,563	\$87,805	\$105,501
	20–30	\$81,560	\$80,805	\$78,823	\$72,177	\$95,491	\$111,677	\$123,814	\$146,489
50s	All	\$82,059	\$82,495	\$81,350	\$75,546	\$98,811	\$115,006	\$127,058	\$148,927
	5–10	\$19,954	\$24,769	\$28,524	\$29,847	\$43,300	\$54,770	\$65,248	\$80,465
	10–20	\$57,100	\$59,067	\$60,185	\$56,282	\$75,237	\$89,738	\$101,585	\$121,160
	20–30	\$114,985	\$113,703	\$109,896	\$100,760	\$130,294	\$150,121	\$164,487	\$192,003
	30+	\$114,259	\$111,939	\$108,020	\$99,431	\$126,869	\$143,508	\$153,484	\$174,992
60s	All	\$121,982	\$118,088	\$113,375	\$103,711	\$127,008	\$139,140	\$144,269	\$157,727
	5–10	\$21,406	\$26,393	\$30,301	\$31,679	\$44,519	\$55,390	\$64,324	\$76,690
	10–20	\$62,602	\$65,309	\$65,892	\$62,437	\$80,718	\$94,030	\$103,616	\$117,934
	20–30	\$130,165	\$127,834	\$123,572	\$113,670	\$140,334	\$155,348	\$162,473	\$178,253
	30+	\$181,395	\$170,237	\$159,753	\$143,459	\$170,971	\$181,269	\$181,621	\$193,701
All	All	\$67,760	\$67,956	\$67,258	\$62,585	\$81,665	\$94,568	\$103,952	\$121,202

Source: Tabulations from EBRI/ICI Participant-Directed Retirement Plan Data Collection Project.

<sup>a</sup> The analysis is based on a sample of 3.0 million participants with account balances at the end of each year from 1999 through 2006.

<sup>b</sup> Age and tenure groups are based on participant age and tenure at year-end 2006.

The distribution of account balances underscores the effects of age and tenure on account balances. In a given age group, shorter tenure tends to mean that a higher percentage of participants will have account balances of less than \$10,000. For example, 88 percent of participants in their 20s with two or fewer years of tenure have account balances of less than \$10,000, compared with 53 percent of participants in their 20s with between five and 10 years of tenure (Figure 14). Older workers display a similar pattern. For example, 64 percent of participants in their 60s with two or fewer years of tenure have account balances of less than \$10,000. In contrast, only about 20 percent of those in their 60s with more than 20 years of tenure have account balances of less than \$10,000.<sup>18</sup>

In a given age group, longer tenure tends to mean that a higher percentage of participants will have account balances greater than \$100,000. For example, about 11 percent of participants in their 60s with 10 or fewer years of tenure have account balances in excess of \$100,000 (Figure 15). However, about 43 percent of participants in their 60s with between 20 and 30 years of tenure with their current employer have account balances greater than \$100,000. This increases to 45 percent for participants in their 60s with more than 30 years of tenure.

#### **Relationship Between Account Balances and Salary**

Participants' account balances vary not only with age and tenure, but also with salary. Figure 16 reports the account balances of long-tenured participants at their current employers' 401(k) plans. Retirement savings held at previous employers or amounts rolled over to IRAs are not included in the analysis. To capture as long a savings history as possible, only long-tenured participants are included in this analysis. However, it is important to note that the tenure variable is the time that individuals have been at their current jobs and may not reflect the length of time they have participated in a 401(k) plan (particularly among older participants, as 401(k) plans were only introduced about 26 years ago).<sup>19</sup>

Older, longer-tenured, and higher-income participants tend to have larger account balances, which are important for meeting their income-replacement needs in retirement. For long-tenured participants in their 20s with salaries between \$20,000 and \$40,000, the median account balance was \$6,719 in 2006 (Figure 16). Long-tenured participants in their 20s earning more than \$100,000 had a median account balance of \$57,794. Among long-tenured participants in their 60s with \$20,000 to \$40,000 in salary in 2006, the median account balance was \$66,147. For long-tenured participants in their 60s earning more than \$100,000, the median account balance was \$350,576.


The ratio of participant account balance to salary is positively correlated with age and tenure.<sup>20</sup> Participants in their 60s, having had more time to accumulate assets, tend to have higher ratios, while those in their 20s have the lowest ratios (Figure 17). In addition, for any given age and tenure combination, the ratio of account balance to salary varies somewhat with salary. For example, among participants in their 20s, the ratio tends to increase slightly with salary for low-to-moderate salary groups (Figure 18). However, at high salary levels the ratio tends to decline somewhat. A similar pattern occurs among participants in their 60s (Figure 19).<sup>21</sup>

## Year-End 2006 Snapshot of Asset Allocation


Consistent with a long-term investment horizon, 401(k) plan participants are heavily invested in equity securities. At year-end 2006, nearly half (49 percent) of 401(k) plan participants' account balances are invested in equity funds, on average (Figure 20). Altogether, equity securities—equity funds, the equity portion of balanced funds,<sup>22</sup> and company stock—represent about two-thirds of 401(k) plan participants' assets. As in previous years, the EBRI/ICI database for year-end 2006 finds that participants' asset allocation varies considerably with age.<sup>23</sup> Younger participants tend to favor equity funds, while older participants are more likely to invest in fixed-income securities such as bond funds, GICs and other stable value funds, or money funds (Figure 21).

#### **Asset Allocation and Investment Options**

The investment options that a plan sponsor offers significantly affect how participants allocate their 401(k) assets. Figure 22 presents the distribution of plans, participants, and assets by four combinations of investment offerings. The first category is the base group, which consists of plans that do not offer company stock, GICs, or other stable value funds. Twenty-seven percent of participants in the 2006 EBRI/ICI database



<sup>a</sup> The S&P 500 Index consists of 500 stocks chosen for market size, liquidity, and industry group representation. The Russell 2000 Index measures the performance of the 2,000 smallest U.S. companies (based on total market capitalization) included in the Russell 3000 Index (which tracks the 3,000 largest U.S. companies). <sup>b</sup> All indexes are set to 100 in December 1996.



<sup>b</sup> The sample of participants changes over time.

are in these plans—which generally offer equity funds, bond funds, money funds, and balanced funds as investment options. Another 26 percent of participants are in plans that offer GICs and/or other stable value funds as an investment option, in addition to the "base" options. Alternatively, 13 percent of participants are in plans that offer company stock, but no stable value products, while the remaining 34 percent of participants are offered both company stock and stable value products, in addition to the base options.

#### Asset Allocation by Investment Options and Age, Salary, and Plan Size

As discussed above, asset allocation varies with participant age. Thus, Figure 23 presents the analysis of asset allocation by investment options and also by participants' age. Salary information is available for a subset of participants in the 2006 EBRI/ICI database. Because asset allocation is influenced by the investment options available to participants, Figure 24 presents asset allocation by salary range and by investment options.

Participant asset allocation also varies with plan size (Figure 25, top panel), but much of the variation can be explained by differences in the investment options offered by plan sponsors. For example, the percentage of plan assets invested in company stock rises with plan size. A portion of this trend occurs because few small plans offer company stock as an investment option. For example, less than 1 percent of participants in small plans are offered company stock as an investment option, while 69 percent of participants in plans with more than 5,000 participants are offered company stock as an investment option. Thus, to analyze the potential effect of plan size, the remaining panels of Figure 25 group plans by investment options and plan size.

#### **Distribution of Equity Fund Allocations and Participant Exposure to Equities**

The year-end 2006 EBRI/ ICI database finds that, on average, 49 percent of participant account balances are allocated to equity funds (Figure 20). However, individual asset allocations vary widely across participants. For example, nearly 36 percent of participants hold no equity funds, while 21 percent of participants hold more than 80 percent of their balances in equity funds (Figures 26 and 27). Furthermore, the percentage of participants holding no equity funds varies with age, with 47 percent of participants in their 20s, 31 percent of participants in their 40s, and 42 percent of participants in their 60s holding no equity funds. The percentage of participants holding no equity funds tends to fall as salary increases (Figure 27).

Participants with no equity fund balances may still have exposure to the stock market through company stock or balanced funds. Indeed, 58 percent of participants with no equity funds have investments in either company stock or balanced funds (Figure 28). For example, 46 percent of participants in their 20s without equity funds hold balanced funds as their only equity investment; 6 percent of participants in their 20s without equity funds hold both balanced funds and company stock; and 10 percent have only company stock as their equity investment. As a result, many participants with no equity funds have exposure to equity-related investments through company stock and/or balanced funds (Figure 29).


Among individual participants, the allocation of account balances to equities (equity funds, company stock, and the equity portion of balanced funds) varies widely around the average of 68 percent for all participants in the 2006 EBRI/ICI database. Thirty-nine percent of participants have more than 80 percent of their account balances invested in equities, while 15 percent hold no equities at all in 2006 (Figure 30).

#### Distribution of Participants' Balanced Fund Allocations by Age

Individual 401(k) participants' asset allocation to balanced funds varies widely around an average of 13 percent (Figure 21). For example, 59 percent of participants hold no balanced funds, while 12 percent of participants hold more than 80 percent of their accounts in balanced funds (Figure 31).

#### Distribution of Participants' Company Stock Allocations by Age

Participants' allocations to company stock remained in line with previous years. Forty-seven percent (or 9.3 million) of the 401(k) participants in the 2006 EBRI/ICI database are in plans that offer company stock as an investment option (Figure 22). Among these participants, 67 percent hold 20 percent or less of their account balances in company stock, including almost 45 percent who hold none (Figure 32). On the other hand, nearly 9 percent have more than 80 percent of their account balances invested in company stock.



EBRI Issue Brief No. 308 • August 2007 • www.ebri.org




Figure 13 Account Balances Increase With Age and Tenure Average 401(k) account balance, by age and tenure, 2006											
	Average 40	01(k) accoun	t balance, by	age and ten	ure, 2006						
			Tenure	(years)							
Age Group	0–2	>2–5	>5–10	>10–20	>20–30	>30					
20s	\$4,571	\$10,414	\$17,120								
30s	\$11,257	\$22,368	\$37,438	\$55,693							
40s	\$14,725	\$29,010	\$49,995	\$89,822	\$133,321						
50s	\$17,854	\$32,532	\$54,491	\$99,794	\$174,272	\$167,806					
60s	\$20.076	\$31.914	\$51.268	\$93,636	\$157,069	\$190,593					

#### **Asset Allocation of Recently Hired Participants**

Comparing snapshots of newly hired 401(k) plan participants' asset allocations provides further insight into the recent investment allocation activity of plan participants. Lifestyle and lifecycle funds,<sup>24</sup> which are included in balanced funds, have increased in popularity. More recently hired participants hold balanced funds (Figure 33) and are more likely to hold a high concentration of their accounts in balanced funds (Figures 34 and 35). In addition, at year-end 2006, 24 percent of the account balances of recently hired participants in their 20s is invested in balanced funds, compared with 19 percent in 2005, and about 7 percent among that age group in 1998 (Figure 36). A similar pattern occurs across all age groups.

Comparing recently hired participants in 2006 with their similar age groups in 1998 also illustrates that asset allocation to company stock and equity funds is lower now than in 1998, while asset allocation to fixed-income securities tends to increase (Figure 36). Recently hired 401(k) participants are less likely to hold company stock (Figure 37) and less likely to hold a high concentration of their account balance in company stock (Figure 38 and Figure 39).

## Year-End 2006 Snapshot of 401(k) Plan Loan Activity

#### Availability and Use of 401(k) Plan Loans by Plan Size


Fifty-one percent of the 401(k) plans for which loan data are available in the 2006 EBRI/ICI database offer a plan loan provision to participants (Figure 40).<sup>25</sup> The loan feature is more commonly associated with large plans (as measured by the number of participants in the plan). Ninety-three percent of plans with more than 10,000 participants include a loan provision, compared with 27 percent of plans with 10 or fewer participants. There is little variation in participant loan activity by plan size (Figure 41). Loan ratios vary only slightly when participants are grouped based on the size of their 401(k) plans (as measured by the number of plan participants; Figure 42).


#### Characteristics of Participants with Outstanding 401(k) Plan Loans

In the 2006 EBRI/ICI database, 85 percent of participants are in plans offering loans. However, as has been the case for the 11 years that the EBRI/ICI databases have tracked 401(k) plan participants, relatively few participants make use of this borrowing privilege. At year-end 2006, only 18 percent of those eligible for loans have 401(k) plan loans outstanding (Figure 43). As in previous years, loan activity varies with age, tenure, account balance, and salary. Of those participants in plans offering loans, the highest percentages of participants with outstanding loan balances are among participants in their 30s, 40s, or 50s. In addition, participants with five or fewer years of tenure or with more than 30 years of tenure are less likely to use the loan provision than other participants. Only 11 percent of participants with account balances of less than \$10,000 have loans outstanding (Figure 43).

#### **Average Loan Balances**

Among participants with outstanding loans at the end of 2006, the average unpaid balance is \$7,292.<sup>26</sup> Again, similar to other years of analysis, loan balances as a percentage of account balances (net of the unpaid loan balance) for participants with loans is 12 percent at year-end 2006 (Figure 44). In addition, as in previous years, there is variation around this average that corresponds with age (lower the older the participant), tenure (lower the higher the tenure of the participant), account balance (lower the higher the account balance), and salary (lower the higher the participant's salary). Overall, loans from 401(k) plans tend to be small, with the vast majority of 401(k) participants in all age groups having no loan at all (Figure 45).





EBRI Issue Brief No. 308 • August 2007 • www.ebri.org

### References

Bloomberg Data. New York, NY: Bloomberg, L.P.

- Brady, Peter, and Sarah Holden. "The U.S. Retirement Market, 2006." *ICI Research Fundamentals*, Vol. 16, no. 3, July 2007. Available online at <u>http://www.ici.org/stats/res/fm-v16n3.pdf</u>
- Cerulli Associates. "Retirement Markets 2006." *Cerulli Quantitative Update*. Boston, MA: Cerulli Associates, Inc., 2006.
- Employee Benefit Research Institute. "History of 401(k) Plans: An Update," *FACTS from EBRI*. Washington, DC: Employee Benefit Research Institute, February 2005.
- Holden, Sarah, Peter Brady, and Michael Hadley. "401(k) Plans: A 25-Year Retrospective," *ICI Perspective*, Vol. 12, No. 2 (Investment Company Institute, November 2006).
- Holden, Sarah, and Jack VanDerhei. "401(k) Plan Asset Allocation, Account Balances, and Loan Activity in 2005." *EBRI Issue Brief*, no. 296; and *ICI Perspective*, Vol. 12, no. 1 (Employee Benefit Research Institute and Investment Company Institute, August 2006).
  - . "Appendix: Additional Figures for the EBRI/ICI Participant-Directed Retirement Plan Data Collection Project for Year-End 2005." *ICI Perspective*. Vol. 12, no. 1A (Investment Company Institute, August 2006). Online appendix available at http://www.ici.org/stats/res/per12-01\_appendix.pdf
  - . "The Influence of Automatic Enrollment, Catch-Up, and IRA Contributions on 401(k) Accumulations at Retirement." *EBRI Issue Brief*, no. 283; and *ICI Perspective*, Vol. 11, no. 2 (Employee Benefit Research Institute and Investment Company Institute, July 2005).
  - . "Can 401(k) Accumulations Generate Significant Income for Future Retirees?" *EBRI Issue Brief*, no. 251; and *ICI Perspective*, Vol. 8, no. 3 and (Employee Benefit Research Institute and Investment Company Institute, November 2002).
  - \_\_\_\_\_\_. "Contribution Behavior of 401(k) Plan Participants." *EBRI Issue Brief*, no. 238; and *ICI Perspective*, Vol. 7, no. 4 (Employee Benefit Research Institute and Investment Company Institute, October 2001).

\_\_\_\_\_\_. "The Impact of Employer-Selected Investment Options on 401(k) Plan Participants' Asset Allocations: Preliminary Findings." Working Paper Prepared for The Center for Pension and Retirement Research (CPRR) Current Pension Policy Issues Conference, at Miami University, Oxford, OH, June 8– 9, 2001. Draft, May 2001.

- Investment Company Institute. *Quarterly Supplemental Data*. Washington, DC: Investment Company Institute.
- Russell 2000 Index. Tacoma, WA: Frank Russell Company.
- S&P 500 Index. New York, NY: Standard & Poor's.
- U.S. Department of Labor. Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration (now Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA)). *Private Pension Plan Bulletin, Abstract of 1995, Form 5500 Annual Reports.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Labor, Spring 1999.
- U.S. Government Accountability Office. "401(k) Pension Plans: Loan Provisions Enhance Participation But May Affect Income Security for Some." *Letter Report*. GAO-HEHS-98-5. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Accountability Office, October 1997.
- U.S. Internal Revenue Service. "Notice of Proposed Rule Making, Certain Cash or Deferred Arrangements Under Employee Plans," *Federal Register*, Vol. 46, No. 217 (November 10, 1981): 55544–55549.

#### Endnotes

<sup>1</sup> See Cerulli Associates (2006).

<sup>2</sup>See Brady and Holden (July 2007).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Employee Benefit Research Institute (EBRI) is a nonprofit, nonpartisan, public policy research organization, which does not lobby or take positions on legislative proposals.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The Investment Company Institute (ICI) is the national association of the U.S. investment company industry. ICI members include 8,766 open-end investment companies (mutual funds), 670 closed-end investment companies, 440 exchange-traded funds, and four sponsors of unit investment trusts. Mutual fund members of the ICI have total assets of

approximately \$11.242 trillion (representing 98 percent of all assets of U.S. mutual funds); these funds serve approximately 93.9 million shareholders in more than 53.8 million households.

<sup>5</sup> This update extends previous findings from the project for 1996 through 2005. For year-end 2005 results, see Holden and VanDerhei (August 2006 and August 2006 — Appendix). Results for earlier years are available in earlier issues of *EBRI Issue Brief* (http://ebri.org/publications/ib/) and *ICI Perspective* (www.ici.org/perspective/index.html).

<sup>6</sup> Account balances are net of unpaid loan balances. Thus, unpaid loan balances are not included in any of the eight asset categories described.

<sup>7</sup> This system of classification does not consider the number of distinct investment options presented to a given participant, but rather the types of options presented. Preliminary research analyzing 1.4 million participants drawn from the 2000 EBRI/ICI database suggests that the sheer number of investment options presented does not influence participants. On average, participants have 10.4 distinct options but, on average, choose only 2.5 (Holden and VanDerhei (May 2001)). In addition, the preliminary analysis found that 401(k) participants are not naïve—that is, when given "n" options, they do not divide their assets among all "n." Indeed, less than 1 percent of participants followed a "1/n" asset allocation strategy.

<sup>8</sup> GICs are insurance company products that guarantee a specific rate of return on the invested capital over the life of the contract.

<sup>9</sup> Other stable value funds include synthetic GICs, which consist of a portfolio of fixed-income securities "wrapped" with a guarantee (typically by an insurance company or a bank) to provide benefit payments according to the plan at book value.

<sup>10</sup> Some administrators supplying data were unable to provide complete asset allocation detail on certain pooled asset classes for one or more of their clients. The final EBRI/ICI database includes only plans for which at least 90 percent of all plan assets could be identified.

<sup>11</sup> When analyzing the change in account balances over time, it is important to have a consistent sample. Comparing average account balances across different year-end snapshots can lead to false conclusions. For example, the addition of a large number of new plans (arguably a good event) to the database would tend to pull down the average account balance, which could then be mistakenly described as hurting current participants, but actually would tell us nothing about consistently participating workers. Similarly, the aggregate average account balance would tend to be pulled down if a large number of older participants happened to retire and roll over their account balances. In addition, changes in the sample of recordkeepers and/or changes in the set of plans for which they keep records can also influence the change in aggregate average account balance. Thus, to ascertain what is happening to 401(k) participants' account balances, a set of consistent participants must be analyzed.

<sup>12</sup> The value of this percentage is lower than it would have been if it were merely reflecting employee turnover and retirement. The EBRI/ICI database has added data providers since 1999 and by definition participants in these plans would not be included in the consistent sample. Moreover, any time a 401(k) plan sponsor changed service providers, all participants in the plan would be excluded from the consistent sample.

<sup>13</sup> For statistics indicating the higher propensity of withdrawals among participants in their 60s, see Holden and VanDerhei (November 2002).

<sup>14</sup> Approximately 1.7 percent of the participants in the database were missing a birth date; were younger than 20 years old; or were older than 69 years old. They were not included in this analysis.

<sup>15</sup> Approximately 6.8 percent of the participants in the database were missing a date of hire and were not included in this analysis.

<sup>16</sup> The positive correlation between tenure and account balance is expected because long-term employees have had more time to accumulate an account balance. However, a rollover from a previous employer's plan could interfere with this positive correlation because a rollover could give a short-tenured employee a high account balance. There is some discernible evidence of rollover assets among the participants with account balances greater than \$100,000 as 1 percent of them have two or fewer years of tenure and 4 percent of them have between two and five years of tenure (Figure 12).

<sup>17</sup> Because 401(k) plans were introduced relatively recently (about 26 years ago), even older and longer-tenured employees could have participated in a 401(k) plan for, at most, about half of their careers. The Revenue Act of 1978 contained a provision that became Internal Revenue Code Sec. 401(k). The law went into effect on January 1, 1980, but it was not until November 1981 that proposed regulations were issued (see Holden, Brady, and Hadley (November 2006), Employee Benefit Research Institute (February 2005), and U.S. Internal Revenue Service (November 10, 1981)).

<sup>18</sup> There are two possible explanations for the low account balances among this group: (1) their employer's 401(k) plan has only recently been established (49 percent of all 401(k)-type plans in existence in 1995 were established after 1989 (U.S. Department of Labor (Spring 1999), table B.10)), or (2) the employee only recently joined the plan. In either event, job tenure would not accurately reflect actual 401(k) plan participation. <sup>19</sup> It is possible that these older longer-tenured workers accumulated DC plan assets, e.g., possibly in a profit-sharing plan, prior to the introduction of 401(k) plan features. However, generally such DC plan arrangements did not permit employee contributions and often were designed to be supplemental to other employer plans. These participants' account balances that predate the 401(k) plan are not included in this analysis, which focuses on 401(k) balance amounts.

<sup>20</sup> The ratio of 401(k) account balance (at the current employer) to salary alone is not an indicator of preparedness for retirement. A complete analysis of preparedness for retirement would require estimating projected balances at retirement by also considering retirement income from Social Security, defined benefit plans, IRAs, and other DC plans, possibly from previous employment. For recent references to such research, see Holden and VanDerhei (July 2005).

<sup>21</sup> The tendency of the account balance-to-salary ratio to peak at higher salary levels and then fall off likely reflects the influence of two competing forces. First, empirical research (see Holden and VanDerhei (October 2001) for a complete discussion of EBRI/ ICI findings and others' research on the relationship between contribution rates and salary) suggests that higher earners tend to contribute higher percentages of salary; therefore, one would expect the ratio of account balance to salary to rise with salary. However, tax code contribution limits and nondiscrimination rules, which aim to ensure that employees of all income ranges attain the benefits of the 401(k) plan, constrain these high-income individuals' ability to save in the plan.

<sup>22</sup> At year-end 2006, 62 percent of balanced mutual fund assets were invested in equities (see Investment Company Institute, Quarterly Supplemental Data).

<sup>23</sup> Participants in their 20s hold approximately 2 percent of the total assets in the 2006 EBRI/ICI database; participants in their 30s hold 12 percent; participants in their 40s hold 32 percent; participants in their 50s hold 38 percent; and participants in their 60s hold the remaining 14 percent of the total assets.

<sup>24</sup> Lifestyle funds maintain a predetermined risk level and generally use words such as "conservative," "moderate," or "aggressive" in their name to indicate the fund's risk level. Lifecycle funds follow a predetermined reallocation of risk over time to a specified target date, and typically rebalance their portfolios to become more conservative and income-producing by the target date. For additional discussion of lifestyle/lifecycle funds, see Brady and Holden (July 2007).

<sup>25</sup> Plan-specific information on loan provisions is available for the majority of the plans in the sample (including virtually all of the small plans). Some plans without this information are classified as having a loan provision if any participant in the plan has an outstanding loan balance. This may understate the number of plans offering loans (or participants eligible for loans) because some plans may have offered, but no participant had taken out, a plan loan. It is likely that this omission is small as the U.S. Government Accountability Office (October 1997) finds that more than 95 percent of 401(k) plans that offer loans had at least one plan participant with an outstanding loan.

<sup>26</sup> The median loan balance outstanding is \$4,089 at year-end 2006.

#### Statement of Ownership

United States Postal Service Statement of Ownership, Management, and Circulation.

1) Publication Title: EBRI Employee Benefit Research Institute Issue Brief. 2) Publication Number: 0887-137x. 3) Filing Date: 08/15/2007. 4) Issue Frequency: Monthly. 5) Number of Issues Published Annually: 12. 6) Annual Subscription Price: \$300 per year or is included as part of a membership subscription. 7) Complete Mailing Address of Known Office of Publication: (Not printer): Employee Benefit Research Institute (EBRI), 2121 K Street NW, Suite 600, Washington, DC 20037. 8) Complete Mailing Address of Headquarters or General Business Office of Publisher (Not printer): Employee Benefit Research Institute (EBRI), 2121 K Street NW, Suite 600, Washington, DC 20037. 9) Full Names and Complete Mailing Addresses of Publisher, Editor, and Managing Editor (Do not leave blank): Publisher, Employee Benefit Research Institute – Education and Research Fund, 2121 K Street NW, Suite 600, Washington, DC 20037. Editor, Dallas L. Salisbury, Employee Benefit Research Institute – Education and Research Fund, 2121 K Street NW, Suite 600, Washington, DC 20037. Managing Editor, Stephen Blakely, Employee Benefit Research Institute Education and Research Fund, 2121 K Street NW, Suite 600, Washington, DC 20037. 10) Owner: Full Name: Employee Benefit Research Institute - Education and Research Fund. 11) Known Bondholders, Mortgagees, and Other Security Holders Owning or Holding 1 Percent or More of Total Amount of Bonds, Mortgages or Other Securities: None. 12)Tax Status (For completion by nonprofit organizations authorized to mail at nonprofit rates) The purpose, function, and nonprofit status of this organization and the exempt status for federal income tax purposes: Has not changed during preceding 12 months: 501(c)(3). 13) Publication's name: EBRI Employee Benefit Research Institute EBRI Issue Brief. 14) Issue Date for Circulation Data Below: August 2007. 15) Extent and Matting precuring in the set of t Issue Published Nearest to Filing Date: 718. (2) Paid In-County Subscriptions Stated on Form 3526; Average No. Copies Each Issue During Preceding 12 Months: 135; No. Copies of Single Issue Published Nearest to Filing Date: 135. (3) Sales Through Dealers and Carriers, Street Vendors, Counter Sales, and Other Non-USPS Paid Distribution: Average No. Copies Each Issue During Preceding 12 Months: 0; No. Copies of Single Issue Published Nearest to Filing Date: 0; (4) Other Classes Mailed Through the USPS: Average No. Copies Each Issue During Preceding 12 Months: 0; No. Copies of Single Issue Published Nearest to Filing Date: 0; c. Total Paid and/or Requested Circulation [Sum of 15b. (1), (2), (3), and (4)] Average No. Copies Each Issue During Preceding 12 Months: 853; No. Copies of Single Issue Published Nearest to Filing Date: 853. d. Free Distribution by Mail (Samples, complimentary, and other free): (1) Outside-County as Stated on Form 3526: Average No. Copies Each Issue During Preceding 12 Months: 85; No. Copies of Single Issue Published Nearest to Filing Date: 85; (2) In-County as Stated on Form 3526: Average No. Copies Each Issue During Preceding 12 Months: 15; No. Copies of Single Issue Published Nearest to Filing Date: 15. (3) Other Classes Mailed Through the USPS: Average No. Copies Each Issue During Preceding 12 Months: 0; No. Copies of Single Issue Published Nearest to Filing Date: 0. e. Free Distribution Outside the Mail (Carriers of other means): Average No. Copies Each Issue During Preceding 12 Months: 0; No. Copies of Single Issue Published Nearest to Filing Date: 0. f. Total Free Distribution (Sum of 15d. And 15e.): Average No. Copies Each Issue During Preceding 12 Months: 100; No. Copies of Single Issue Published Nearest to Filing Date: 100. g. Total Distribution (Sum of 15c. And 15f.): Average No. Copies Each Issue During Preceding 12 Months: 953; No Copies of Single Issue Published Nearest to Filing Date: 953. In Copies not Distributed: Average No. Copies of Single Issue Published Nearest to Filing Date: 953. In Copies of Distributed: Average No. Copies Each Issue During Preceding 12 Months: 347, No. Copies of Single Issue Published Nearest to Filing Date: 1,300. j. Percent Paid and/or Requested Circulation: Average No. Copies Each Issue During Preceding 12 Months: 1,300; No. Copies of Single Issue Published Nearest to Filing Date: 1,300. j. Statement of Ownership Publication: Will be printed in the Aug. 2007 issue of this publication. 17) Signature and Title of Editor, Publisher, Business Manager, or Owner: Dallas Salisbury, editor; Employee Benefit Research Institute, publisher; Stephen Blakely, managing editor. Date: 08/15/07.


I certify that all information furnished on this form is true and complete: Alicia Willis, Communications Associate. Date: 08/15/2007.


		Figure								
Median Account Balance <sup>a</sup> Among Long-Tenured <sup>b</sup> Participants, by Age and Salary, 2006										
_	Participant Age Group									
Salary Range	20s	30s	40s	50s	60s					
\$20,000-\$40,000	\$6,719	\$22,839	\$58,957	\$76,788	\$66,147					
>\$40,000-\$60,000	\$16,393	\$38,693	\$78,834	\$99,932	\$97,588					
>60,000-\$80,000	\$39,383	\$71,897	\$132,531	\$163,935	\$160,051					
>\$80,000-\$100,000	\$56,194	\$114,298	\$196,592	\$243,382	\$237,303					
>\$100,000	\$57,794	\$163,769	\$290,349	\$367,413	\$350,576					

Source: Tabulations from EBRI/ICI Participant-Directed Retirement Plan Data Collection Project.


<sup>a</sup> Account balances are based on administrative records and cover the account balance at the 401(k) plan participant's current employer. Retirement savings held in plans at previous employers or rolled over into individual retirement accounts (IRAs) are not included. Account balances are net of loan balances.

<sup>b</sup> Long-tenured participants are used in this analysis to capture as long a work and savings history as possible. The tenure variable tends to be years with the current employer rather than years of participation in the 401(k) plan. Particularly among older participants, job tenure may not reflect length of participation in the 401(k) plans; the regulations for the 401(k) plans were introduced about 26 years ago.





EBRI Issue Brief No. 308 • August 2007 • www.ebri.org



<sup>c</sup> GICs are guaranteed investment contracts.

		Averade As	set Alloca	0	ure 21 1 <b>(k) Accoun</b>	ts by Part	icinant A	ae	
	ľ	Average As			count balances	-		90	
	Equity	Balanced	Bond	Money	GICs <sup>a</sup> /Stable	Company			
Age Group	Funds	Funds	Funds	Funds	Value Funds	Stock	Other	Unknown	Total <sup>b</sup>
20s	50.4%	19.0%	7.5%	4.4%	6.5%	9.3%	1.7%	1.2%	100%
30s	57.9%	13.5%	7.4%	3.2%	5.2%	9.6%	2.2%	1.0%	100%
40s	54.3%	12.6%	7.6%	3.4%	7.4%	11.5%	2.4%	0.7%	100%
50s	46.7%	13.1%	8.9%	4.4%	11.9%	11.8%	2.6%	0.6%	100%
60s	39.4%	12.2%	10.8%	6.0%	18.8%	9.9%	2.4%	0.5%	100%
	49.1%	12.9%	8.6%	4.3%	11.0%	11.1%	2.4%	0.7%	100%

Figure 22 Distribution of 401(k) Plans, Participants, and Assets, by Investment Options, 2006

				Percentage	Percentage	Percentage
Investment Options Offered by Plan	Plans	Participants	Assets	of Plans	of Participants	of Assets
Equity, Bond, Money, and/or Balanced Funds	25,716	5,417,288	\$257,314,641,063	47.7%	27.1%	21.0%
Equity, Bond, Money, and/or Balanced, and GICs <sup>a</sup>						
and/or Other Stable Value Funds	26,632	5,253,422	\$266,723,774,069	49.4%	26.2%	21.7%
Equity, Bond, Money, and/or Balanced and Company Stock	584	2,587,751	\$199,572,151,262	1.1%	12.9%	16.3%
Equity, Bond, Money, and/or Balanced and Company Stock						
and GICs and/or Other Stable Value Funds	999	6,759,727	\$504,423,055,752	1.9%	33.8%	41.1%
All	53,931	20,018,188	1,228,033,622,146			
Source: Tabulations from EBRI/ICI Participant-Directed Retirement Pla	an Data Col	lection Project.				
<sup>a</sup> GICs are guaranteed investment contracts.						
Note: Column percentages may not add to 100% because of rounding.						

			Figure 23				
Average Asset	Allocation of	f Account	s, by Partic	ipant Age	and Invest	ment Options	5
	Perce	entage of a	account bala	nces, <sup>a</sup> 200	6		
		Equity	Balanced	Bonds	Money	GICs <sup>b</sup> /Stable	Company
		Funds	Funds	Funds	Funds	Value Funds	Stock
Investment Options, All Ages							
No GICs/Stable Value or Compa	any Stock	58.1%	17.3%	14.4%	7.4%		
GICs/Stable Value, But No Com	pany Stock	54.1%	15.4%	5.9%	3.4%	17.7%	
Company Stock, But No GICs/S	table Value	44.3%	10.6%	13.5%	7.7%		21.1%
All Categories (Equity, Balanced	d, Bonds,						
Money, GICs/Stable Value, C	ompany Stock	43.8%	10.2%	5.1%	1.9%	17.4%	18.5%
Plans Without Company Stock,	GICs/Stable Va	lue Funds					
Age Group	20s	55.1%	23.3%	11.7%	8.0%		
	30s	64.8%	16.6%	11.4%	5.3%		
	40s	62.8%	16.4%	12.3%	5.8%		
	50s	55.7%	18.1%	15.1%	7.9%		
	60s	48.4%	17.7%	19.9%	10.6%		
Plans With GICs/Stable Value Fi	unds						
Age Group	20s	53.4%	21.4%	5.4%	3.0%	12.3%	
0 1	30s	62.0%	15.7%	5.2%	2.5%	9.8%	
	40s	59.4%	14.9%	5.4%	2.7%	12.9%	
	50s	52.0%	15.4%	6.2%	3.2%	18.9%	
	60s	43.8%	15.0%	6.5%	3.9%	27.4%	
Plans With Company Stock							
Age Group	20s	49.1%	15.5%	9.8%	5.0%		18.7%
	30s	54.0%	11.6%	9.3%	3.9%		19.2%
	40s	49.3%	10.9%	10.5%	5.1%		21.8%
	50s	42.0%	10.8%	14.3%	8.0%		22.3%
	60s	34.8%	8.9%	20.3%	13.2%		19.5%
Plans With Company Stock and	GICs/Stable V						
Age Group	20s	45.8%	15.9%	4.9%	2.5%	9.9%	17.6%
Age Cloup	30s		11.0%	5.1%	1.7%	8.3%	17.9%
	40s	49.2%	10.1%	5.0%	1.7%	11.4%	19.4%
	50s	41.8%	10.1%	5.4%	2.0%	18.4%	19.0%
							16.7%
Source: Tabulations from EBRI/ICI Particip <sup>a</sup> Minor investment options are not shown;					2.3%	30.1%	16.7

		Figu	re 24			
Average Asset Allo	ocation of 401	(k) Accounts,	, by Participa	ant Salary a	nd Investment	Options
_		entage of acco		-		-
	Equity	Balanced	Bonds	Money	GICs <sup>c</sup> /Stable	Company
Salary <sup>b</sup>	Funds	Funds	Funds	Funds	Value Funds	Stock
Plans Without Company St	ock, GICs/Stable	Value Funds				
\$20,000-\$40,000	51.8%	25.6%	14.2%	6.6%		
>\$40,000-\$60,000	55.3%	22.3%	14.2%	6.4%		
>\$60,000-\$80,000	59.1%	18.8%	13.7%	6.0%		
>\$80,000-\$100,000	61.3%	16.1%	14.0%	5.5%		
>\$100,000	64.0%	15.3%	13.1%	5.6%		
All	58.1%	17.3%	14.4%	7.4%		
Plans With GICs/Stable Val	ue Funds					
\$20,000-\$40,000	47.1%	19.5%	6.3%	2.0%	20.1%	
>\$40,000-\$60,000	50.9%	19.0%	5.6%	2.1%	17.2%	
>\$60,000-\$80,000	54.0%	17.6%	5.2%	2.0%	15.9%	
>\$80,000-\$100,000	56.1%	16.2%	5.3%	1.6%	15.5%	
>\$100,000	59.7%	16.7%	5.8%	2.2%	12.9%	
All	54.1%	15.4%	5.9%	3.1%	17.7%	
Plans With Company Stock	r -					
\$20,000-\$40,000	49.3%	11.5%	11.6%	6.0%		19.3%
>\$40,000-\$60,000	45.8%	14.3%	11.8%	5.0%		19.9%
>\$60,000-\$80,000	44.9%	14.9%	9.7%	6.5%		20.7%
>\$80,000-\$100,000	47.4%	15.0%	8.4%	6.9%		19.5%
>\$100,000	50.7%	15.9%	9.6%	5.7%		14.8%
All	44.3%	10.6%	13.5%	7.7%		21.1%
Plans With Company Stock	and GICs/Stabl	e Value Funds				
\$20,000-\$40,000	35.4%	11.5%	3.6%	0.9%	19.1%	28.2%
>\$40,000-\$60,000	36.7%	15.7%	3.7%	1.1%	14.9%	26.5%
>\$60,000–\$80,000	41.0%	15.3%	4.0%	1.1%	13.0%	24.5%
>\$80,000–\$100,000	45.0%	13.6%	4.4%	1.0%	12.4%	22.1%
>\$100,000	47.0%	12.7%	4.2%	1.0%	12.9%	20.3%
All	43.8%	10.2%	5.1%	1.9%	17.4%	18.5%

<sup>a</sup> Minor investment options are not shown; therefore, row percentages will not add to 100 percent. Percentages are dollar-weighed averages. Salary information is available for a subset of participants in the EBRI/ICI database.

<sup>c</sup> GICs are guaranteed investment contracts.

#### Figure 25 Average Asset Allocation of 401(k) Accounts, by Participant Plan Size and Investment Options

Percentage of account balances,<sup>a</sup> 2006

		age el accea		0, 2000		
	Equity	Balanced	Bonds	Money	GICS <sup>b</sup> /Stable	Company
	Funds	Funds	Funds	Funds	Value	Stock
Plan Size by Number of Partici	pants					
All Plans						
1–100	55.5%	20.1%	9.0%	6.5%	7.5%	0.1%
101–500	56.1%	16.9%	10.9%	5.8%	7.3%	0.7%
501–1,000	54.4%	16.2%	10.7%	5.2%	7.8%	2.4%
1,001–5,000	51.5%	14.5%	10.0%	4.7%	9.4%	6.2%
>5,000	46.5%	11.0%	7.7%	3.7%	12.4%	15.4%
All	49.1%	12.9%	8.6%	4.3%	11.0%	11.1%
Plans Without Company Stock	, GICs/Stable	Value Funds				
1–100	58.4%	18.9%	11.8%	9.4%		
101–500	58.3%	17.6%	14.5%	7.6%		
501-1,000	56.7%	17.9%	15.7%	7.2%		
1001–5,000	57.5%	17.7%	15.3%	6.7%		
>5,000	59.1%	15.7%	13.8%	7.2%		
All	58.1%	17.3%	14.4%	7.4%		
Plans With GICs/Stable Value	Funds					
1–100	53.0%	21.4%	6.3%	3.8%	14.6%	
101–500	54.3%	16.4%	6.1%	3.1%	17.3%	
501–1,000	54.8%	15.8%	5.5%	2.2%	18.1%	
1,001–5,000	52.2%	15.5%	5.7%	2.6%	19.3%	
>5,000	54.8%	13.2%	5.8%	3.5%	17.3%	
All	54.1%	15.4%	5.9%	3.1%	17.7%	
Plans With Company Stock						
1-100 <sup>c</sup>	40.4%	27.4%	7.0%	9.0%		14.8%
101–500	45.8%	13.5%	11.1%	11.2%		16.8%
501-1,000	47.9%	11.9%	10.1%	8.3%		20.1%
1,001–5,000	49.3%	11.1%	13.4%	6.6%		17.9%
>5,000	42.7%	10.3%	13.7%	7.9%		22.2%
All	44.3%	10.6%	13.5%	7.7%		21.1%
Plans With Company Stock an	d GICs/Stable	e Value Funds				
1–100	45.5%	10.5%	5.9%	5.1%	16.7%	6.3%
101–500	47.8%	14.1%	5.7%	2.4%	16.0%	6.9%
501-1,000	45.1%	11.3%	3.7%	2.9%	16.8%	11.8%
1,001–5,000	43.1%	11.1%	4.4%	2.7%	19.0%	13.9%
>5,000	43.8%	10.0%	5.2%	1.8%	17.2%	19.3%

Source: Tabulations from EBRI/ICI Participant-Directed Retirement Plan Data Collection Project.

<sup>a</sup> Minor investment options are not shown; therefore, row percentages will not add to 100 percent. Percentages are dollar-weighted averages. <sup>b</sup> GICs are guaranteed investment contracts.

<sup>c</sup> Because few plans fall into this category, these percentages may be heavily influenced by a few outliers.

	Asse	Asset Allocation	on Distribut	tion of 401	Figur (k) Particip	Figure 26 Distribution of 401(k) Participant Account Balances to Equity Funds, by Age	t Balances	s to Equity	Funds, by	Age	
				Perce	ntage of pa	Percentage of participants, <sup>a,b</sup> 2006	2006				
				Perceni	tage of Accour	Percentage of Account Balance Invested in Equity Funds	sted in Equity	Funds			
Age Group	Zero	1–10%	11–20%	21–30%	31–40%	41–50%	51-60%	61–70%	71–80%	81–90%	91–100%
20s	47.0%	1.8%	2.4%	3.6%	3.6%	4.7%	5.9%	5.4%	6.2%	5.1%	14.3%
30s	32.7%	2.5%	2.9%	4.2%	4.5%	6.1%	7.3%	7.2%	8.1%	6.8%	17.6%
40s	31.4%	3.1%	3.3%	4.6%	4.9%	6.4%	7.7%	7.3%	8.0%	6.4%	16.9%
50s	34.2%	4.1%	3.9%	5.2%	5.4%	6.6%	7.7%	6.9%	6.9%	5.1%	14.1%
60s	41.8%	4.9%	4.1%	5.0%	5.0%	5.8%	6.6%	5.4%	5.1%	3.6%	12.7%
AII	35.6%	3.2%	3.3%	4.5%	4.8%	6.1%	7.2%	6.7%	7.2%	5.8%	15.6%
Source: Tabulations from EBRI/ICI Participant-Directed	ns from EBRI/ICI I	Participant-Direct	ed Retirement Pla	Retirement Plan Data Collection Project.	Project.						
<sup>a</sup> The analysis incl	The analysis includes the 20.0 million participants in the year-end 2006 EBRI/ICI database.	lion participants ir	n the year-end 200	06 EBRI/ICI datab	iase.						
<sup>b</sup> Row percentage.	Row percentages may not add to 100 percent because	100 percent beca	use of rounding.								

Percentage of Account Balance Inv           Zero         1-20%         >2           35.6%         6.5%         >2           37.6%         6.5%         >2           37.1%         5.4%         >2           37.1%         5.4%         32.7%           31.4%         6.4%         3.5%           41.8%         9.0%         8.2%           30.3%         6.4%         3.5%           40.7%         5.1%         9.0%           30.3%         6.4%         3.5%           40,000         31.4%         9.0%           31.4%         9.0%         8.2%           30.3%         6.4%         3.5%           40,000         32.5%         9.0%           580,000         25.6%         9.3%           580,000         25.6%         9.3%	Percentage of Account Balance Invested in Equity F           Zero         1–20%         50%         80%
Zero 1-20% 35.6% 6.5% 6.5% 6.5% 6.5% 7.9% 7.9% 7.9% 7.9% 7.9% 7.9% 7.9% 9.0% 41.8% 9.0% 9.0% 9.0% 9.0% 9.0% 9.0% 9.0% 9.0	1–20% 6.5% 5.4% 6.4% 3.5% 9.0% 6.4% 6.4% 9.8% 9.0%
35.6% 6.5% 47.0% 4.3% 32.7% 6.4% 31.4% 6.4% 34.1% 7.9% 41.8% 9.0% 41.8% 9.0% 40.7% 5.1% 30.3% 6.4% 30.3% 6.4% 31.4% 9.8% 41.8% 9.8% 31.4% 9.8% 31.4% 9.8% 31.4% 9.8% 31.4% 9.8% 31.4% 9.8% 31.4% 9.8% 31.4% 9.8% 31.4% 9.8% 31.4% 9.8% 30.000 20.8% 8.4%	6.5% 6.4% 6.4% 9.0% 6.4% 6.4% 9.8% 10.8%
47.0%       4.3%         32.7%       5.4%         32.7%       5.4%         31.4%       6.4%         34.1%       7.9%         34.1%       7.9%         34.1%       7.9%         34.1%       7.9%         34.1%       7.9%         30.3%       6.4%         40.7%       5.1%         30.3%       6.4%         31.4%       9.0%         ,000       41.8%       9.0%         0,000       25.6%       9.3%         0,000       25.6%       9.3%         0,000       20.8%       8.4%	4.3% 5.4% 6.4% 9.0% 6.4% 6.4% 8.2% 9.8% 10.8%
47.0%       4.3%         32.7%       5.4%         32.7%       5.4%         34.1%       6.4%         34.1%       7.9%         34.1%       7.9%         34.1%       7.9%         34.1%       7.9%         34.1%       7.9%         30.3%       6.4%         40.7%       5.1%         30.3%       6.4%         30.3%       6.4%         31.4%       9.0%         0.000       32.5%       9.0%         0,000       25.6%       9.3%         0,000       20.8%       8.4%	4.3% 5.4% 6.4% 9.0% 8.2% 9.8% 10.8%
32.7%       5.4%         31.4%       6.4%         31.4%       6.4%         34.1%       7.9%         41.8%       9.0%         41.8%       9.0%         40.7%       5.1%         30.3%       6.4%         31.4%       9.8%         40.7%       5.1%         30.3%       6.4%         31.4%       9.8%         ,000       41.8%       9.0%         0,000       25.6%       9.3%         0,000       20.8%       8.4%	5.4% 6.4% 9.0% 5.1% 6.4% 9.8% 10.8%
31.4%       6.4%         34.1%       7.9%         34.1%       7.9%         34.1%       7.9%         41.8%       9.0%         45.4%       3.5%         40.7%       5.1%         30.3%       6.4%         31.4%       9.8%         ,000       41.8%       9.0%         0,000       25.6%       9.9%         0,000       25.6%       9.3%	6.4% 7.9% 9.0% 6.4% 8.2% 10.8% 9.0%
34.1%       7.9%         41.8%       9.0%         41.8%       9.0%         45.4%       3.5%         30.3%       6.4%         30.3%       6.4%         31.4%       9.8%         0.000       41.8%       9.0%         0.000       25.6%       9.0%         0.000       25.6%       9.3%         0.000       25.6%       9.3%	7.9% 9.0% 5.1% 6.4% 9.8% 10.8%
41.8%       9.0%         45.4%       3.5%         40.7%       5.1%         40.7%       5.1%         30.3%       6.4%         31.4%       9.8%         31.4%       9.8%         0.000       41.8%       9.0%         0,000       25.6%       9.3%         0,000       20.8%       8.4%	9.0% 3.5% 6.4% 9.8% 10.8%
45.4% 3.5% 40.7% 5.1% 30.3% 6.4% 26.9% 8.2% 31.4% 9.8% 43.2% 9.0% 0,000 22.6% 9.3% 0,000 20.8% 8.4%	3.5% 5.1% 6.4% 9.8% 10.8%
45.4%     3.5%       0     40.7%     5.1%       20     30.3%     6.4%       20     26.9%     8.2%       30     31.4%     9.8%       30     31.4%     9.8%       0     31.4%     9.8%       000-\$40,000     41.8%     9.0%       000-\$60,000     22.5%     9.9%       000-\$100,000     25.6%     9.3%	3.5% 5.1% 6.4% 9.8% 9.0%
40.7%         5.1%           0         30.3%         6.4%           20         26.9%         8.2%           30         31.4%         9.8%           30         31.4%         9.8%           30         31.4%         9.8%           30         31.4%         9.8%           000-\$40,000         41.8%         9.0%           000-\$50,000         32.5%         9.3%           0,000-\$50,000         25.6%         9.3%           0,000-\$100,000         25.6%         9.3%	5.1% 6.4% 8.2% 10.8% 9.0%
0 8.4% 20 26.9% 6.4% 30 31.4% 9.8% 43.2% 10.8% 100-\$40,000 41.8% 9.0% 1000-\$80,000 32.5% 9.9% 1000-\$100,000 25.6% 9.3%	6.4% 8.2% 9.8% 9.0%
20 26.9% 8.2% 30 31.4% 9.8% 43.2% 10.8% 000-\$40,000 41.8% 9.0% ,000-\$60,000 32.5% 9.9% ,000-\$100,000 20.8% 8.4%	8.2% 9.8% 9.0%
-30 31.4% 9.8% 43.2% 10.8% 43.2% 9.0% 000-\$40,000 32.5% 9.9% 0,000-\$80,000 25.6% 9.3% 0,000-\$100,000 20.8%	9.8% 10.8% 9.0%
43.2% 10.8% 000-\$40,000 41.8% 9.0% 1,000-\$60,000 32.5% 9.9% 1,000-\$100,000 25.6% 8.4% 1,000-\$100,000 20.8% 8.4%	10.8% 9.0%
000-\$40,000 41.8% 9.0% ,000-\$60,000 32.5% 9.9% ,000-\$80,000 25.6% 9.3% ,000-\$100,000 20.8% 8.4%	9.0%
41.8% 9.0% 32.5% 9.9% 25.6% 9.3% 0 20.8% 8.4%	9.0%
32.5% 9.9% 25.6% 9.3% 2 20.8% 8.4%	
25.6% 9.3% D 20.8% 8.4%	9.9%
\$100,000 20.8% 8.4%	9.3%
	8.4%
0.0%	17.8% 7.0% 48.3%

Allocation         Encount of contrants of contrecont of contrants of contrecont of contrants of contru		Percent	tage of 401(k	() Plan Pa	Figure 28 Percentage of 401(k) Plan Participants Without Equity Fund Balances Who Have Equity Exposure by Darticipant Ace or Tenure 2006	hout Equity	Fund Bal	ances	
only         Both balanced funds         Company stock         aquity invest equity invest 6.5%         9.7%           6.6%         6.7%         13.6%         13.6%           6.7%         6.7%         13.6%         21.2%           6.5%         6.4%         23.1%         23.9%           6.3%         6.4%         21.2%         21.2%           6.3%         6.4%         16.6%         16.6%           7.4%         7.4%         16.7%         16.7%           7.4%         7.4%         16.7%         31.4%           7.4%         6.3%         36.9%         36.9%           6.3%         6.3%         0.16.6%         31.4%           7.4%         7.4%         16.7%         16.7%           7.4%         7.4%         0.16.6%         31.4%           8.3%         6.3%         0.16.6%         31.4%           7.14%         7.4%         0.16.6%         31.4%           8.3%         6.3%         0.16.6%         31.4%           8.3%         6.3%         0.16.6%         31.4%           8.3%         6.3%         0.10.6%         31.4%           8.17.2%         0.10.10%         0.10.6%         11.0% </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>y LANUGU Perce</th> <th>entage of Participar</th> <th>nts Without Equi</th> <th>ty Funds</th> <th>000</th> <th></th>				y LANUGU Perce	entage of Participar	nts Without Equi	ty Funds	000	
5.9%       5.9%       9.7%         6.6%       6.6%       13.6%         6.7%       6.7%       21.2%         6.7%       6.3%       23.1%         6.3%       6.2%       7.9%         6.3%       6.4%       16.6%         6.4%       1.2.8%         6.4%       1.2.8%         7.4%       1.2.8%         7.4%       1.2.8%         7.4%       1.2.8%         7.4%       1.2.8%         6.3%       5.3%       16.6%         7.4%       0.9%       31.4%         7.4%       0.9%       31.4%         3.9%       6.3%       31.4%         9.9%       5.3%       10.6%         117.2%       5.6%       0.9%         12.9%       5.6%       0.8%         117.2%       5.6%       0.8%         117.2%       5.6%       0.8%         111.0%       0.8%       0.8%         111.0%       0.8%       0.8%         111.1%       0.8%       0.8%         111.1%       0.8%       0.8%         111.1%       0.8%       0.8%         111.1%       0.8%       0		Compan balan	ly stock and/or nced funds <sup>a</sup>	Balance equit	d funds as only y investment	Both balanc and compa	ed funds iny stock	Company stoc equity im	k as only vestment
5.9%       5.9%       9.7%         6.6%       6.7%       13.6%         6.5%       6.5%       21.2%         6.3%       6.3%       16.6%         6.3%       6.3%       16.6%         6.3%       6.3%       16.6%         6.3%       6.3%       16.6%         7.4%       7.9%       23.14%         7.4%       7.4%       16.7%         7.4%       7.3%       31.4%         6.3%       6.3%       31.4%         6.3%       6.3%       31.4%         7.4%       0.10%       31.4%         7.4%       0.10%       36.9%         6.3%       11.2%       31.4%         29       11.2%       11.2%         20       11.1%       0.6%         80       11.2%       0.6%         80       11.2%       0.6%         80       11.2%       0.6%         80       11.2%       0.6%         80       11.2%       0.6%         80       11.3%       0.6%         80       11.3%       0.6%         80       19.4%       0.8%         81       1.4%	Age Group								
6.6%       6.7%       13.6%         6.7%       6.7%       17.2%         6.5%       5.3%       16.6%         6.3%       6.3%       16.6%         6.3%       6.3%       12.8%         7.4%       12.8%       12.8%         7.4%       7.4%       12.8%         6.3%       6.3%       31.4%         6.3%       6.3%       31.4%         7.4%       0.12%       31.4%         6.3%       6.3%       31.4%         7.4%       0.12%       31.4%         6.3%       6.3%       10.8%         8.3%       0.8%       10.8%         8.1       17.2%       5.3%       10.8%         8.1       17.2%       5.3%       11.2%         8.1       17.2%       5.3%       1.0%         8.1       17.2%       5.3%       1.0%         8.1       18.3%       0.8%       1.1%         8.1       18.3%       0.8%       0.8%         8.1       15.3%       0.8%       0.8%         8.1       1.1%       0.8%       0.8%         8.1       1.1%       0.8%       0.8%         8	20s	•	61.9%		46.3%	5.9	%	9.7	1%
6.7%       6.7%       17.2%         6.5%       5.3%       16.6%         7.9%       6.3%       15.8%         6.2%       7.4%       12.8%         6.4%       7.4%       16.7%         7.4%       16.7%       21.2%         6.3%       6.3%       36.9%         6.3%       6.3%       36.9%         6.3%       6.3%       36.9%         6.3%       6.3%       36.9%         3.9%       6.3%       36.9%         6.3%       6.3%       36.9%         7.9%       5.3%       10.6%         8ble       Company       11.0%         117.2%       5.6%       1.0%         6       11.3%       3.7%       0.6%         9.9%       5.3%       1.2%       0.9%         9.9%       5.2%       0.9%       0.6%         115.3%       5.2%       0.10%       0.6%         1       19.4%       0.9%       0.6%         1       19.4%       0.9%       0.6%         1       19.4%       0.9%       0.6%         1       19.4%       0.9%       0.6%         1       19.4% <td>30s</td> <td>•</td> <td>60.2%</td> <td></td> <td>40.0%</td> <td>6.6</td> <td>%</td> <td>13.</td> <td>6%</td>	30s	•	60.2%		40.0%	6.6	%	13.	6%
6.5%       5.1%       21.2%         4.9%       6.3%       6.1%       23.1%         6.2%       7.4%       16.7%         7.4%       16.7%       7.4%         7.4%       16.7%       21.2%         7.4%       7.4%       16.7%         7.4%       7.4%       16.7%         7.4%       7.4%       12.8%         6.3%       6.3%       36.9%         6.3%       6.3%       36.9%         80%       6.3%       0.10%         816       Company       16.6%         17.2%       5.3%       1.2%         8ble       Company       0.8%         17.2%       5.3%       1.0%         8ble       Company       1.1%         8ble       Company       0.8%         9.9%       3.3%       0.8%         9.9%       3.3%       0.9%         9.9%       5.2%       0.9%         9.9%       0.9%       0.8%         19.4%       0.9%       0.8%         19.4%       0.9%       0.8%         19.4%       0.9%       0.8%         19.4%       0.9%       0.8%	40s		58.8%		34.9%	6.7	%	17.	2%
4.9%       5.3%       16.6%         6.3%       6.4%       12.8%         6.4%       12.12%         6.4%       12.12%         7.4%       21.2%         6.3%       6.3%       31.4%         7.4%       7.4%       21.2%         6.3%       6.3%       31.4%         6.3%       6.3%       31.4%         6.3%       6.3%       31.4%         7.9%       5.3%       31.4%         7.9%       5.3%       36.9%         7.9%       5.3%       10.6%         10       bit       Unknown         229       11.2%       1.2%         21.1%       5.3%       0.6%         112.9%       2.3%       0.6%         112.9%       4.8%       0.8%         112.9%       5.5%       0.6%         113.4%       3.7%       0.6%         115.1%       5.2%       0.10%         115.1%       5.2%       0.8%         113.4%       0.8%       0.8%         113.4%       0.8%       0.8%         119.4%       3.9%       0.8%         119.4%       3.9%       0.8%	50s		58.6%		31.0%	6.5	%	21.	2%
6.3%       16.6%         6.4%       12.8%         6.4%       12.8%         6.4%       12.1%         7.4%       21.2%         7.4%       3.9%         5.3%       3.14%         6.3%       5.3%         3.9%       3.9%         5.3%       36.9%         5.3%       36.9%         3.9%       5.3%         5.3%       16.6%         6.3%       0.10%         16.6%       0.0%         16.6%       0.0%         16.6%       0.0%         10.10%       0.0%         11.2%       5.3%       1.0%         11.1%       5.3%       0.0%         11.1%       5.3%       0.0%         11.1%       5.3%       0.0%         11.1%       5.3%       0.0%         11.1%       0.0%       0.0%         11.1%       0.0%       0.0%         11.1%       0.0%       0.0%         11.1%       0.0%       0.0%         11.1%       0.0%       0.0%         11.1%       0.0%       0.0%         11.1%       0.0%       0.0% <td>60s</td> <td></td> <td>52.7%</td> <td></td> <td>24.8%</td> <td>4.9</td> <td>%</td> <td>23.</td> <td>1%</td>	60s		52.7%		24.8%	4.9	%	23.	1%
6.2%       7.9%         6.4%       12.8%         7.4%       16.7%         7.4%       21.2%         6.3%       3.9%       36.9%         6.3%       3.9%       36.9%         7.9%       5.3%       36.9%         3.9%       5.3%       36.9%         7.9%       5.3%       16.6%         7.9%       5.3%       16.6%         7.9%       5.3%       16.6%         7.9%       5.3%       10.8%         29       29       20         29       21.1%       0.10%         20       21.1%       3.7%       0.8%         8ble       Company       11.0%       0.8%         17.2%       5.6%       0.8%       0.8%         18.3%       4.8%       0.8%       0.8%         15.1%       3.7%       0.8%       0.8%         15.3%       5.2%       0.9%       0.8%         15.3%       5.2%       0.9%       0.9%         15.3%       5.2%       0.9%       0.8%         15.3%       5.2%       0.9%       0.8%         15.3%       1.1%       0.9%       0.8%	AIIb		58.4%		35.5%	6.3	%	16.	6%
6.2%       7.9%         6.4%       7.4%         7.4%       16.7%         7.4%       3.9%         7.4%       3.14%         3.9%       6.3%       31.4%         3.9%       5.3%       31.4%         7.4%       5.3%       31.4%         21.2%       5.3%       36.9%         7.9%       6.3%       16.6%         7.9%       6.3%       10.6%         29       0.10knuth       16.6%         20       0.10knuth       16.6%         21       10.10kn       11.0%         21       11.1%       5.6%       11.0%         21       11.1%       5.6%       0.8%         115.1%       3.7%       0.8%       11.0%         21.1%       5.2%       0.8%       0.8%         21.1%       5.2%       0.8%       0.8%         21.1%       3.7%       0.8%       0.8%         21.1%       3.7%       0.8%       0.8%         21.1%       3.7%       0.8%       0.8%         22.2.8%       5.2%       0.9%       0.8%         21.1%       3.7%       0.8%       0.8%	Tenure (years)								
6.4%       12.8%         7.4%       16.7%         7.4%       21.2%         6.3%       3.9%         3.9%       5.3%         3.9%       5.3%         3.9%       5.3%         3.9%       5.3%         7.4%       21.2%         3.9%       5.3%         7.4%       0.6%         7.4%       0.6%         7.4%       0.10%         29       2.3%         20       21.1%         21.1%       5.6%       1.2%         21.1%       5.6%       0.8%         11.1%       5.6%       0.8%         11.1%       5.6%       0.8%         11.1%       5.6%       0.9%         20.2%       4.8%       0.8%         11.1%       5.6%       0.9%         21.1%       3.7%       0.8%         21.1%       3.7%       0.8%         21.1%       3.7%       0.8%         21.1%       3.7%       0.8%         22.28%       5.2%       0.9%         22.28%       5.2%       0.9%         22.19%       0.8%       0.8%         10.9%	0-2	•	62.6%		48.5%	6.2	%	7.9	%6
7,4%       7,4%       16,7%         7,4%       3.9%       3.14%         3.9%       3.9%       3.14%         3.9%       3.9%       3.14%         3.9%       3.9%       3.6.6%         7.4%       0.6.6%       16.6%         7.4%       0.6.6%       3.6.6%         7.9%       6.3%       0.6.6%         7.9%       0.6.6%       0.6.6%         29       21.1%       0.10%         20       21.1%       5.5%       1.2%         21.1%       5.6%       0.8%       1.1%         20.2%       4.9%       0.8%       1.1%         21.1%       5.6%       0.9%       0.6%         21.1%       3.7%       0.8%       1.1%         20.2%       4.9%       0.8%       1.1%         21.1%       3.7%       0.8%       1.1%         20.2%       1.1%       0.8%       1.1%         21.1%       3.7%       0.8%       0.8%         21.1%       3.7%       0.8%       0.8%         21.1%       3.7%       0.8%       0.8%         21.1%       3.7%       0.8%       0.8%         21.1% <td>&gt;2-5</td> <td></td> <td>59.5%</td> <td></td> <td>40.4%</td> <td>6.4</td> <td>%</td> <td>12.</td> <td>8%</td>	>2-5		59.5%		40.4%	6.4	%	12.	8%
7.4%       7.4%       21.2%         6.3%       3.9%       3.14%         8.3%       5.3%       36.9%         8.3%       6.3%       36.9%         Project.       16.6%       16.6%         29       16.6%       16.6%         29       16.6%       16.6%         29       10.1%       10.1%         20       21.1%       5.3%       1.2%         20       11.1%       5.6%       1.10%         20.2%       4.9%       0.8%       1.1%         20.2%       4.8%       0.8%       1.1%         21.1%       5.2%       1.1%       0.6%         21.1%       5.2%       0.8%       1.1%         21.1%       3.7%       0.8%       0.8%         21.1%       3.7%       0.8%       0.8%         21.1%       3.7%       0.8%       0.8%         21.1%       3.7%       0.8%       0.8%         21.1%       3.7%       0.8%       0.8%         21.1%       3.7%       0.8%       0.8%         21.1%       3.7%       0.8%       0.8%         22.2.8%       5.2%       0.9%	>5-10		57.3%		33.3%	7.4	%	16.	7%
6.3%       6.3%       31.4%         3.9%       3.9%       36.9%         3.9%       6.3%       16.6%         Project.       29       16.6%         29       16.6%       16.6%         29       16.6%       16.6%         29       11.0%       10.1%         29       21.1%       5.3%       1.2%         20.2%       4.9%       0.8%       1.2%         20.2%       4.9%       0.8%       1.2%         21.1%       5.6%       1.0%       0.8%         21.1%       5.6%       0.8%       1.1%         20.2%       4.8%       0.8%       1.1%         21.1%       5.2%       1.1%       0.8%         21.1%       3.7%       0.8%       1.1%         21.1%       3.7%       0.8%       1.1%         22.2.8%       5.2%       1.1%       0.8%         22.1.1%       3.2%       0.8%       0.8%         21.1%       3.2%       0.8%       0.8%         22.2.8%       5.2%       0.9%       0.6%         22.2.8%       5.2%       0.7%       0.6%         22.2.8%       5.2%       0.7% <td>&gt;10-20</td> <td></td> <td>56.1%</td> <td></td> <td>27.5%</td> <td>7.4</td> <td>%</td> <td>21.</td> <td>2%</td>	>10-20		56.1%		27.5%	7.4	%	21.	2%
3.9%       3.9%       36.9%         Broject.         29       20         29       20         Xithout         articipants Without         articipant Age or Tenure         atticipant Age or Tenure       10.0%         able       Company       11.2%         able       Company       11.2%         able       20.2%       4.9%       0.8%         115.1%       3.7%       0.6%       1.1%         able       20.2%       4.8%       0.8%         able       20.2%       1.1%       0.6%         able       20.2%       4.8%       0.8%         able       20.2%       4.8%       0.8%         able       20.2%       4.8%       0.8%         able       20.2%       4.8%       0.8%         able       21.1%       3.7%       0.8%         able       20.2%       4.8%       0.8%         able       20.2%       1.1%       0.8%         able       22.2.8%       0.6%       0.6%         able       22.2%       0.8%       0.7%         able       19.4%	>20-30		56.0%		18.3%	6.3	%	31.	4%
Froject.     6.3%     16.6%       29     29     29       29     10.0%     10.0%       20     11.2%     11.2%       21.1%     5.6%     1.0%       21.1%     5.6%     1.0%       21.1%     5.6%     1.0%       20.2%     4.9%     0.8%       21.1%     5.6%     1.0%       21.1%     5.6%     1.1%       20.2%     4.8%     0.8%       15.1%     3.7%     0.6%       15.1%     3.7%     0.6%       16.1%     3.7%     0.6%       17.2%     5.2%     1.1%       20.2%     4.8%     0.8%       18.3%     4.8%     0.8%       19.4%     0.9%     0.6%       19.4%     0.9%     0.6%       19.4%     0.9%     0.6%       19.4%     0.9%     0.7%       10.9%     1.1.4%     0.7%       10.9%     1.1.4%     0.6%       10.9%     1.1.4%     0.6%	>30		52.4%		11.6%	3.9	%	36.	9%
Project.         29       (k) Plan Participants Without articipant Age or Tenure articipant Age or Tenure atticipant Age or Tenure of the Company nds Stock Other Unknown         able Company       0ther Unknown         able Company       0ther Unknown         able Company       01000         able Company       01000         able Company       01000         able Company       012.9%       2.0%         able Company       012.9%       0.8%         able Company       11.2%       1.2%         able 20.2%       4.9%       0.8%         able 20.2%       4.8%       0.8%         able 20.2%       4.8%       0.8%         able 20.2%       1.1%       0.8%         able 20.0%       5.2%       0.8%         able 20.0%       5.2%       0.8%         able 20.0%       5.2%       0.7%         able 20.0%       5.2%       0.7%         able 20.0%       1.1%       0.8%         able 20.0%       0.8%	AII <sup>b</sup>		58.4%		35.5%	6.3	%	16.	6%
Company Stock         Other         Unknown           12.9%         2.3%         2.0%           17.2%         5.3%         1.2%           21.1%         5.6%         1.0%           21.1%         5.6%         0.8%           17.2%         5.3%         1.2%           21.1%         5.6%         0.8%           18.3%         4.8%         0.8%           18.3%         4.8%         0.9%           18.3%         4.8%         0.9%           19.3%         1.1%         0.9%           15.3%         5.2%         0.9%           15.3%         5.2%         0.7%           19.4%         3.9%         0.6%           19.4%         0.8%         0.8%           19.3%         4.8%         0.8%		AVe	erage Asset / Equity Fun		Figure 29 I for 401(k) Pla es, by Particip	In Participar ant Age or 1	its Witho		
Company Stock         Other         Unknown           12.9%         2.3%         2.0%           17.2%         5.3%         1.2%           21.1%         5.6%         1.0%           21.1%         5.6%         1.0%           21.1%         5.6%         1.0%           21.1%         5.6%         1.0%           21.1%         5.6%         0.8%           15.1%         3.7%         0.6%           18.3%         4.8%         0.9%           15.3%         5.2%         0.9%           15.3%         5.2%         0.9%           15.3%         5.2%         0.9%           15.3%         5.2%         0.7%           19.4%         3.9%         0.6%           19.4%         0.8%         0.6%           19.4%         3.9%         0.6%           19.4%         0.8%         0.6%			-		יו מכיכיטווו טמומ	1000, 2000			
12.9%       2.3%       2.0%         17.2%       5.3%       1.2%         21.1%       5.6%       1.0%         21.1%       5.6%       0.8%         15.1%       3.7%       0.6%         15.1%       3.7%       0.6%         15.1%       3.7%       0.9%         15.1%       3.7%       0.9%         15.1%       3.7%       0.9%         15.3%       1.4%       0.9%         15.3%       5.2%       1.1%         9.9%       5.2%       1.1%         15.3%       5.2%       0.9%         15.3%       5.2%       0.9%         19.4%       3.9%       0.6%         18.3%       4.8%       0.8%		Balanced Funds	Bond Funds	Money Funds	GICs <sup>ª</sup> /Stable Value Funds	Company Stock	Other	Unknown	Total <sup>b</sup>
12.9%       2.3%       2.0%         17.2%       5.3%       1.2%         21.1%       5.6%       1.0%         21.1%       5.6%       0.8%         15.1%       3.7%       0.6%         18.3%       4.8%       0.8%         15.1%       3.7%       0.6%         15.3%       4.8%       0.9%         6.8%       4.4%       0.9%         9.9%       3.6%       1.1%         15.3%       5.2%       0.9%         15.3%       5.2%       0.9%         15.3%       5.2%       0.9%         19.4%       3.9%       0.6%         19.4%       3.9%       0.6%         19.4%       3.9%       0.6%         19.4%       3.9%       0.6%	Age Group		5	5					
17.2%       5.3%       1.2%         21.1%       5.6%       1.0%         21.1%       5.6%       0.8%         15.1%       3.7%       0.6%         15.1%       3.7%       0.6%         15.1%       3.7%       0.6%         15.1%       3.7%       0.6%         15.3%       1.3%       0.8%         15.3%       1.3%       0.9%         20.0%       5.2%       0.9%         22.8%       5.2%       0.9%         19.4%       3.9%       0.6%         19.4%       3.9%       0.6%         19.4%       0.8%       0.8%	20%	49.2%	6.5%	11 9%	15 3%	12 9%	2 3%	2 0%	100 0%
11.2.%       5.6%       1.2.%         21.1%       5.6%       1.0%         20.2%       4.9%       0.8%         15.1%       3.7%       0.6%         15.1%       3.7%       0.6%         15.3%       4.8%       0.9%         6.8%       4.4%       0.9%         9.9%       5.2%       1.1%         20.0%       5.2%       0.9%         19.4%       3.9%       0.6%         19.4%       3.9%       0.6%         19.4%       3.9%       0.6%         18.3%       4.8%       0.8%	000	707 07	0.0.0	200 01	15 20/	12.00	F 20/	1 20/	100.001
21.17%       5.0.0%       1.0%         20.2%       4.9%       0.6%         15.1%       3.7%       0.6%         15.1%       3.7%       0.6%         15.3%       4.4%       0.9%         6.8%       4.4%       0.9%         15.3%       5.2%       1.1%         20.0%       5.6%       0.9%         19.4%       0.8%       0.6%         19.4%       3.9%       0.6%         19.4%       0.8%       0.8%         19.4%       3.9%       0.6%         18.3%       4.8%       0.8%	205	47.4 %	0.1%	10.0%	0/ 7·CI	0/ 7. 11	0.0.0 100	0/ 7. 1	0.001 100.001
20.2.%       4.3.%       0.0%         15.1%       3.7%       0.6%         15.1%       3.7%       0.6%         18.3%       4.8%       0.9%         6.8%       4.4%       0.9%         9.9%       3.6%       1.1%         20.0%       5.2%       0.9%         19.4%       0.9%       0.6%         19.4%       3.9%       0.6%         18.3%       4.8%       0.8%	40s	33.U%	9.1.70	10.3%	20.0%	20.00	%0.C	0.0.1 0.00	100.0%
18.3%       4.8%       0.0%         18.3%       4.8%       0.9%         6.8%       4.4%       0.9%         9.9%       3.6%       1.3%         15.3%       5.2%       1.1%         20.0%       5.6%       0.9%         22.8%       5.2%       0.7%         19.4%       3.9%       0.6%         18.3%       4.8%       0.8%	50s	20.1% 18.5%	10.1%	10.0%	36 7%	20.2% 15 1%	4.9% 2,7%	0.0%	100.0%
6.8% 4.4% 0.9% 9.9% 3.6% 1.3% 15.3% 5.2% 1.1% 20.0% 5.6% 0.9% 22.8% 5.2% 0.7% 19.4% 3.9% 0.6% 18.3% 4.8% 0.8%		27.1%	10.3%	11.4%	27.1%	18.3%	4.8%	0.8%	100.0%
6.8%       4.4%       0.9%         9.9%       3.6%       1.3%         15.3%       5.2%       1.1%         20.0%       5.6%       0.9%         22.8%       5.2%       0.7%         19.4%       3.9%       0.6%         18.3%       4.8%       0.8%	Tenure (years)								
9.9%       3.6%       1.3%         15.3%       5.2%       1.1%         20.0%       5.6%       0.9%         22.8%       5.2%       0.7%         19.4%       3.9%       0.6%         18.3%       4.8%       0.8%         veighted averages.       0.8%	02	56.0%	6.7%	11.5%	13.7%	6.8%	4.4%	0.9%	100.0%
15.3%       5.2%       1.1%         20.0%       5.6%       0.9%         22.8%       5.2%       0.7%         19.4%       3.9%       0.6%         18.3%       4.8%       0.8%         veighted averages.       0.8%	25	45.2%	11.1%	13.3%	15.6%	9.9%	3.6%	1.3%	100.0%
20.0% 5.6% 0.9% 22.8% 5.2% 0.7% 19.4% 3.9% 0.6% 18.3% 4.8% 0.8%	5-10	38.3%	9.8%	12.8%	17.5%	15.3%	5.2%	1.1%	100.0%
22.8% 5.2% 0.7% 19.4% 3.9% 0.6% 18.3% 4.8% 0.8% veighted averages.	10-20	29.6%	9.7%	11.3%	22.9%	20.0%	5.6%	0.9%	100.0%
19.4% 3.9% 0.6% 18.3% 4.8% 0.8% veighted averages.	20–30	22.4%	9.4%	10.1%	29.4%	22.8%	5.2%	0.7%	100.0%
18.3% 4.8% 0.8% veighted averages.	30+	13.9%	9.7%	11.7%	40.7%	19.4%	3.9%	0.6%	100.0%
Source: Tabulations from EBRI/ICI Participant-Directed Retirement Plan Data Collection Project. <sup>a</sup> GICs are guaranteed investment contracts. <sup>b</sup> Row percentages may not add up to 100 percent because of rounding. Percentages are dollar-weighted averages. <sup>c</sup> The analysis inclurdes the 7.1 million participants with no equity funds at vaar-end 2006.	AII <sup>c</sup>	27.1%	10.3%	11.4%	27.1%	18.3%	4.8%	0.8%	100.0%
GUS are guarameed investment contracts. <sup>b</sup> Row percentages may not add up to 100 percent because of rounding. Percentages are dollar-weighted averages. <sup>c</sup> The analveis includes the 7.1 million participants with no equity funds at vear-end 2006.	Source: Tabulation:	s from EBRI/ICI Pa	rticipant-Directed Re	tirement Plan D	ata Collection Project.				
<sup>6</sup> The analysis includes the 7.1 million participants with no construction at vest-end 2006.	<sup>b</sup> Row percentages r	ed investment conti may not add up to 1	lacts. 100 percent because	of rounding. Pe	ercentades are dollar-w	eighted averages.			
	<sup>c</sup> The analysis includ	des the 7 1 million r	participants with no e	cultv funds at v	ear-end 2006	2			

	Asset Alloc Asset alloca	ation to Equ	Figure 30 Asset Allocation to Equities Varies Widely Among Participants Asset allocation distribution of 401(k) participant account balance	<b>/idely Amonç</b> articipant acc	J Participant	ω
	to eq	uities,ª by ag∈ Percenta	to equities," by age, percentage of participants," 2006 Percentage of Account Balance Invested in Equities	of participants lance Invested in	," 2006 Equities	
Age Group	Zero	1–20%	>20-40%	>40-60%	>60-80%	>80–100%
20s	17.9%	2.3%	4.2%	8.4%	33.8%	33.4%
30s	13.0%	2.8%	4.6%	9.1%	28.6%	42.0%
40s	12.9%	3.6%	5.3%	9.9%	26.7%	41.5%
50s	14.1%	5.2%	6.6%	11.2%	26.1%	36.7%
60s	19.7%	7.3%	7.6%	11.1%	22.2%	32.1%
AII	14.8%	4.0%	5.5%	9.9%	27.4%	38.5%
Source: Tabulati <sup>a</sup> Equities include	ons from EBRI/ICI P.	articipant-Directed R	Source: Tabulations from EBR/I/CI Participant-Directed Retriement Plan Data Collection Project. <sup>a</sup> Equities include equity funds, company stock, and the equity portion of balanced funds.	Collection Project. 3d funds.		
<sup>b</sup> The analysis incl	ludes the 20.0 millior	n 401(k) plan particip	<sup>b</sup> The analysis includes the 20.0 million 401(k) plan participants in the year-end 2006 EBRI/ICI database.	2006 EBRI/ICI databe	ISE.	
Note: Row percer	ntages may not add t	Note: Row percentages may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.	se of rounding.			

	Asse	t Allocatio	Figure 31 Asset Allocation Distribution of 401(k) Participant Account Balance to Balanced Funds, by Age	on of 401(ŀ	Figure 31 () Participant A	e 31 nt Account	Balance to	o Balanced	Funds, by	Age	
				Perce	Percentage of Participants, <sup>a</sup> 2006	irticipants, <sup>a</sup>	2006				
				Percents	Percentage of Account Balance Invested in Balanced Funds	Balance Inves	ted in Balance	d Funds			
Age Group	Zero	1–10%	11–20%	21–30%	31–40%	41–50%	51-60%	61–70%	71–80%	81–90%	91–100%
20s	53.8%	5.5%	5.5%	4.7%	2.5%	2.3%	2.1%	1.6%	1.4%	1.2%	19.4%
30s	57.5%	7.3%	6.8%	5.8%	3.0%	2.5%	2.0%	1.3%	1.2%	0.9%	11.6%
40s	59.1%	7.3%	6.8%	6.0%	3.3%	2.7%	2.2%	1.2%	1.1%	0.9%	9.4%
50s	60.1%	6.9%	6.4%	5.9%	3.5%	2.9%	2.3%	1.3%	1.1%	0.9%	8.7%
60s	65.3%	5.6%	5.1%	5.0%	3.1%	2.7%	2.2%	1.1%	1.0%	0.8%	8.1%
AII	59.1%	6.8%	6.3%	5.6%	3.1%	2.6%	2.1%	1.3%	1.1%	0.9%	10.9%
Source: Tabulatic <sup>a</sup> The analysis incl	Source: Tabulations from EBRI/ICI Participant-Directed Retirement Plan Data Collection Project. <sup>a</sup> The analysis includes the 20.0 million 404 (k) clan matricipants in the vest-end 2006 EBRI/ICI database	Participant-Direct	ed Retirement Pla	n Data Collection	Project. MCI database						
Note: Daw person		1.1.5 to 100 percent	at hooding of round								
NOTE: RUW PEICEII	Note: Kow percentages may not add up to 100 percent pecau	and not of dn c	nt pecause or rounding.	ang.							

Asset All	Asset Allocation Distribution of	stribution c		<b>nt Account</b> Perce	Figure 32 count Balance to Company Stocl Percentage of Participants, <sup>a,b</sup> 2006	e 32 <b>o Company</b> rticipants, <sup>a,b</sup>	<b>r Stock in 4</b> 2006	01(k) Plans	Figure 32 Participant Account Balance to Company Stock in 401(k) Plans With Company Stock, by Age Percentage of Participants, <sup>a,b</sup> 2006	pany Stoc	k, by Age
				Percenta	Percentage of Account Balance Invested in Company Stock	Balance Inves	sted in Compar	ny Stock			
Age Group	Zero	1–10%	11–20%	21–30%	31–40%	41–50%	51-60%	61–70%	71–80%	81–90%	91–100%
20s	55.1%	8.4%	7.8%	6.7%	5.3%	4.7%	3.2%	1.6%	1.2%	0.8%	5.2%
30s	45.6%	12.5%	9.7%	7.9%	5.8%	4.8%	3.3%	2.0%	1.5%	1.2%	5.8%
40s	41.8%	14.5%	9.8%	7.8%	5.8%	4.7%	3.4%	2.2%	1.7%	1.4%	6.9%
50s	40.8%	15.9%	9.2%	7.1%	5.4%	4.4%	3.2%	2.2%	1.7%	1.4%	8.6%
60s	44.2%	15.2%	7.5%	5.8%	4.4%	3.6%	2.8%	1.9%	1.5%	1.4%	11.6%
AII	44.5%	13.6%	9.1%	7.3%	5.5%	4.5%	3.2%	2.1%	1.6%	1.3%	7.3%
Source: Tabulati <sup>a</sup> The analysis inc	Source: Tabulations from EBRI/ICI Participant-Directed <sup>a</sup> The analysis includes the 9.3 million participants in plar	Participant-Direc	Source: Tabulations from EBRI/ICI Participant-Directed Retirement Plan Data . The analysis includes the 9.3 million participants in plans with company stock.	Retirement Plan Data Collection Project is with company stock.	n Project.						
<sup>b</sup> Row percentage	s may not add up	to 100 percent be	<sup>2</sup> Row percentages may not add up to 100 percent because of rounding.	÷							

	ž	ore Recent	ly Hired 40	Figure 33 1(k) Plan Partic	re 33 articipants	Figure 33 More Recently Hired 401(k) Plan Participants <sup>a</sup> Hold Balanced Funds	anced Func	st	
	Perc	centage of r	ecently hire	d participan	ıts holding t	Percentage of recently hired participants holding balanced funds, 1998–2006	nds, 1998–2	2006	
Age Group	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
20s	27.0%	28.3%	27.1%	27.3%	32.7%	35.1%	38.9%	43.5%	48.5%
30s	29.0%	31.0%	28.3%	26.5%	33.1%	36.2%	39.8%	42.8%	47.9%
40s	30.5%	33.6%	30.8%	27.9%	33.7%	35.7%	39.8%	42.1%	46.6%
50s	30.9%	34.9%	32.1%	29.2%	33.9%	35.5%	40.3%	43.3%	47.8%
60s	28.4%	34.9%	33.2%	29.1%	30.2%	30.7%	36.3%	41.6%	45.5%
AII	28.9%	31.3%	29.1%	27.4%	33.0%	35.4%	39.3%	42.8%	47.6%
Source: Tabulatio	ons from EBRI/ICI	Participant-Direc	Source: Tabulations from EBRI/ICI Participant-Directed Retirement Plan Data Collection Project.	an Data Collectior	n Project.				
<sup>a</sup> The analysis ind	ludes participants	with two or fewer	The analysis includes participants with two or fewer years of tenure in 2006.	12006.					

#### Figure 34 **Recently Hired Participants Now Hold Higher Concentrations in Balanced Funds**

	0		
Percentage	of recently hired participants h	olding balanced fund assets, <sup>a,b</sup>	<sup>0</sup> 1998, 2005, and 2006
	Percentage of Account Balance	nvested in Balanced Funds Among	g Those Holding Balanced Funds
		1998	
Age Group	>0–50 percent	>50–90 percent	>90 percent
20s	84.9%	7.3%	7.8%
30s	86.0%	7.6%	6.4%
40s	84.1%	8.9%	7.0%
50s	81.1%	10.7%	8.2%
60s	77.0%	12.4%	10.6%
All	84.5%	8.2%	7.3%
		2005	
Age Group	>0–50 percent	>50–90 percent	>90 percent
20s	47.5%	11.9%	40.6%
30s	55.5%	12.3%	32.2%
40s	53.5%	12.8%	33.6%
50s	50.2%	13.4%	36.4%
60s	44.2%	12.4%	43.4%
All	51.5%	12.4%	36.1%
		2006	
Age Group	>0–50 percent	>50–90 percent	>90 percent
20s	40.1%	13.7%	46.2%
30s	47.7%	12.8%	39.5%
40s	46.0%	13.1%	40.9%
50s	43.3%	13.3%	43.4%
60s	39.5%	12.6%	47.9%
All	43.9%	13.3%	42.8%
Source: Tabulations from	n EBRI/ICI Particinant-Directed Retiremen	t Plan Data Collection Project	

Source: Tabulations from EBRI/ICI Participant-Directed Retirement Plan Data Collection Project.

The analysis includes the 0.4 million recently hired participants (those with two or fewer years of tenure) holding balanced funds in 1998; the 0.9 million recently hired participants holding balanced funds in 2005; and the 1.4 million recently hired participants holding balanced funds in 2006. Row percentages may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

#### Figure 35 Asset Allocation Distribution of Account Balance to Balanced Funds Among Recently Hired Participants, by Age

Percentage of recently hired participants,<sup>a,b</sup> 2006

			F	Percentage	of Account I	Balance Inv	ested in Bal	anced Fund	S		
Age Group	Zero	1–10%	11–20%	21–30%	31–40%	41–50%	51–60%	61–70%	71–80%	81–90%	91–100%
20s	51.5%	5.0%	5.3%	4.5%	2.3%	2.2%	2.1%	1.6%	1.6%	1.4%	22.4%
30s	52.1%	5.7%	6.3%	5.6%	2.8%	2.5%	2.1%	1.4%	1.4%	1.1%	18.9%
40s	53.4%	4.9%	5.7%	5.5%	2.6%	2.6%	2.3%	1.3%	1.4%	1.1%	19.0%
50s	52.2%	4.5%	5.2%	5.5%	2.7%	2.8%	2.4%	1.4%	1.5%	1.1%	20.7%
60s	54.5%	3.9%	4.4%	4.6%	2.3%	2.7%	2.3%	1.2%	1.3%	1.0%	21.8%
All	52.4%	5.1%	5.6%	5.2%	2.6%	2.5%	2.2%	1.5%	1.5%	1.2%	20.4%
Source: Tabula						ion Project.					
<sup>a</sup> The analysis in	cludes partici	pants with two	o or tewer year	s of tenure in 2	2006.						


<sup>b</sup> Row percentages may not add up to 100 percent because of rounding.

				Ave	erage A	<b>sset All</b> tions A	locatior mong P	Fig of 401( articipa	Figure 36 Average Asset Allocation of 401(k) Accounts, by Participant Age and Investment Options Among Participants With Two or Fewer Years of Tenure <sup>a</sup> Percentage of account balances <sup>b</sup> 1998–2005, and 2006	unts, b) Two ol	/ Partici r Fewer	ipant Aç Years o	ge and of Tenu	rea				
		Equity			Balanced		0	Bond Funde			Money Funds		<u>ای</u>	GICs <sup>c</sup> /Stable Value Funde	<u>e</u>	U	Company Stock	
Age Group	1998	2005	2006	1998	2005	2006	1998	2005	2006	1998	2005	2006	1998	2005	2006	1998	2005	2006
ALL PLANS																		
20s	66.9%	50.6%	49.7%	7.4%	19.3%	23.8%	5.1%	9.6%	7.1%	4.0%	4.7%	4.4%	3.7%	6.9%	5.9%	10.5%	7.5%	6.6%
30s	67.8	55.9	56.4	8.0	16.8	20.1	5.1	10.3	7.5	4.1	3.8	3.6	3.2	5.5	4.5	9.4	5.9	5.1
40s	64.5	52.8	54.1	9.7	17.6	20.3	5.9	10.9	8.0	5.1	4.3	4.0	4.4	6.7	5.6	8.0	6.2	5.2
50s	60.5	47.3	48.6 10 1	11.3	18.5	21.8	0.0	12.2	0.0	5.9	4. r 8. c	4.0 8.0	6.7	9.7	8.0	0.0 1.0	5.7	5.0 • 1
eus All	50.0 64.8	42.0 51.7	43.5 52.5	1.21 9.1	10.7 17.7	20.3 21.0	8.7 5.7	13.3 11.0	9.0 8.0	8.7 9.9	2.C 4.4	6.7 7.0	13.3 4.6	7.4 7.4	12.2 6.2	7.c 8.6	5.0 6.1	4.~ 5.3
PLANS WITHOUT COMPANY STOCK, GICs.	UT COM	PANY ST	OCK, GIC		OR OTHER STABLE	BLE VALU	VALUE FUNDS			2		2	2		1	0		2
20s	77.8	53.5	53.4		24.1	26.9	7.7		10.5	4.9	7.5	7.9						
30s	6.77	59.6	60.4	8.4	19.5	22.5	7.2	14.5	10.4	4.8	5.8	5.6						
40s	74.0	56.7	58.3	9.9	20.5	23.3	8.3	15.3	10.9	6.0	6.7	6.4						
50s	70.3	50.6	52.0	11.3	22.2	25.8	10.0	17.8	12.8	6.5	7.8	7.8						
60s	59.4	44.8	45.3	11.8	20.9	25.7	13.5	20.3	14.3	12.2	8.5	6.6						
	75.0	55.1	56.3			24.0	8.2	15.8	11.3	5.7	6.9	6.9						
S WITH	GICs AND	VOR OTH	GICs AND/OR OTHER STABL	щ	ш													
20s	73.4	52.0	50.7	7.3	20.2	26.1	3.9	6.8	5.1	2.9	3.5	2.5	9.1	14.9	12.0			
30s	73.5	56.4	56.0	8.1	18.1	22.7	4.1	7.3	5.3	2.8	3.0	2.3	7.9	12.3	9.3			
40s = 0	69.0	54.1	54.1	9.4	18.7	22.8	5.0	7.9	5.9	3.4 9.7	3.3 1.3	2.7	9.5	13.9	10.7			
SUC 20	03.0	49.4	49.4	10.2	18.4	23.3	0.0 0	9.7	1.7	4 I 0 0	3.7	2 Z C	11.9	17.6	13.6			
60s All	52.7 69.7	43.9 52.8	46.3 52.5	7.9	17.3 18.6	20.9 23.2	5.0 2	9.5 7.9	8.1 6.0	3.5 2	9.4 8.4 4.8	2.8 2.8	19.2 10.1	23.5 15.0	18.6 11.7			
S WITH	COMPANY																	
	51.8			6.1	15.5	20.1	5.0	12.2	9.1	5.4	5.7	5.2				29.5	15.2	14.0
30s	56.0	55.0	54.8	6.6	14.4	18.2	5.3	12.9	8.9	5.2	4.8	4.7				24.6	12.6	11.5
40s	54.4	51.2	51.5	8.2	14.9	18.9	6.5	14.4	9.4	6.4	5.4	6.1				22.6	13.6	12.3
50S	53.2 7 7 2	44.7	44./ 27 o	0.5 7 7 0	0.71	20.8	0.0 7 0	17.4 000	10.8	0.0 7	0.0 0	0.0				19.4	13.0	12.6
All	54.2	51.0	50.8	7.2	15.1	19.2	6.3	14.5	9.5	1.0	5.4	6.2				24.1	13.3	12.3
PLANS WITH COMPANY	COMPAN			AND GICs AND/OR	0	STA	BLE VALUE FUNDS	NDS										
20s	56.2		45.6	8.2	16.3	21.2	2.3	6.3	4.5	2.5	2.5	2.4	6.7	10.4	9.5	22.0	15.9	13.6
30s	56.3	52.3	53.3	8.9	14.4	16.6	2.6	7.0	5.3	3.3	1.9	1.7	5.9	9.0	7.9	20.6	12.7	10.6
40s	53.8	48.6	51.2	11.0	15.1	16.3	2.8	7.2	6.0	5.0	2.2	1.8	7.8	10.9	9.6	17.3	13.6	10.9
50s	49.3	43.4	46.1	13.8	15.6	17.3	3.3	7.2	6.3	5.3	2.2	2.0	11.8	16.4	13.7	14.5	13.1	10.9
60s	38.0	37.5	41.2	14.3	12.9	15.1	2.6	0.8	6.4 1	6.4	2.2	7.8 0	27.8	24.9	20.9	10.7	14.2	10.7
All	54.1	47.7	49.4	10.1	15.0	17.1	2.4	7.0	5.7	2.4	2.2	2.0	10.1	12.3	10.6	18.6	13.6	11.2
Source: Tabulations from EBRI/ICI Participant-Directed Retirement Plan Data Collection Project <sup>a</sup> The analysis is based on the 1.2 million recently hired participants (those with two or fewer yea	ns from EBR ased on the	<pre>II/ICI Partic 1.2 million</pre>	ipant-Directe recentlv hirec	d Retiremer 1 participant	nt Plan Data s (those wit	Collection F	roject sr vears of tei	nure) holdina	ction Project or fewer vears of tenure) holding balanced funds in 1998: the 2.2 million recently hired participants holding balanced funds in 2005: and the 2.8 million	ds in 1998: th	te 2.2 million	recently hire	d participants	s holdina ba	lanced fund	s in 2005: ar	nd the 2.8 n	illion
recently hired participants holding balanced funds in 2006.	icipants holo	ling balanc	ed funds in 2	006.				D 				6	5	מ	5			
mino investment options are not subwit, ineletione, tow percentages will not add to too percent. Fercentages are dollar-weighted averages. Profice are unaranteed investment contract contract and account of the submitted and account of the submitted a	t uptions are	ant contract	, merenore, ic *c	uw percenta	ides will not		פונפווו. רפונו	smages are c	uuliai -weignilei	u averages.								
כוכס מום אתמימיי	ובבת וויגםסייי		.19.															

				Figur	e 37				
Recentl	y Hired	401(k) P	lan Parti	cipants	Are Less	s Likely t	to Hold (	Company	y Stock
Perce	ntage of re	ecently hire	d participa	nts offered	and holdin	ig company	/ stock by a	age,1998–2	2006
Age Group	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
20s	60.8%	61.1%	60.5%	58.1%	53.9%	49.6%	49.8%	45.4%	40.0%
30s	61.9%	62.3%	61.6%	60.0%	57.2%	53.3%	52.3%	47.6%	43.6%
40s	59.8%	60.6%	59.5%	58.8%	55.9%	52.6%	52.0%	47.3%	43.6%
50s	57.6%	58.8%	57.4%	57.9%	53.9%	51.2%	49.5%	45.2%	42.3%
60s	54.1%	55.5%	53.6%	55.7%	51.0%	49.5%	47.8%	43.9%	40.4%
All	60.5%	61.0%	60.0%	58.7%	55.3%	51.6%	51.0%	46.3%	42.0%

Source: Tabulations from EBRI/ICI Participant-Directed Retirement Plan Data Collection Project.

Note: The analysis includes 401(k) plan participants with two or fewer years of tenure in the year indicated and a plan offering company stock as an investment option.



Note: The analysis includes 401(k) plan participants with two or fewer years of tenure in the year indicated and in a plan offering company stock as an investment option.


#### Figure 39 Asset Allocation Distribution of Recently Hired Participant Account Balance to Company Stock in 401(k) Plans With Company Stock, by Age


Percentage of recently hired participants in plans offering company stock as an investment option, 2006

			Percent	age of Acco	unt Balance	Invested in	Company S	tock <sup>a</sup>			
Age Group	Zero	1–10%	11–20%	21–30%	31–40%	41–50%	51–60%	61–70%	71–80%	81–90%	91–100%
20s	60.0%	7.6%	7.5%	6.5%	4.8%	4.3%	2.7%	1.1%	0.8%	0.5%	4.3%
30s	56.4%	9.6%	8.8%	7.3%	4.8%	4.1%	2.6%	1.1%	0.8%	0.5%	4.2%
40s	56.4%	8.9%	8.4%	7.6%	4.8%	4.3%	2.6%	1.0%	0.7%	0.5%	4.7%
50s	57.7%	8.9%	8.2%	7.1%	4.5%	4.2%	2.5%	0.9%	0.6%	0.5%	4.9%
60s	59.6%	8.5%	7.7%	6.3%	4.1%	3.8%	2.4%	0.9%	0.6%	0.5%	5.6%
All	58.0%	8.6%	8.1%	7.0%	4.8%	4.2%	2.6%	1.0%	0.7%	0.5%	4.5%
Source: Tabulat	ions from EBRI/	CI Participant-	Directed Retire	ment Plan Data	a Collection Pro	oject.					


Source: Tabulations from EBRI/ICI Participant-Directed Retirement Plan Data Collection Project.

<sup>a</sup> The analysis includes participants with two or fewer years of tenure in 2006 in plans offering company stock as an investment option. Note: Row percentages may not add up to 100 percent because of rounding.





EBRI Issue Brief No. 308 • August 2007 • www.ebri.org



96, 2000, 2	005, and 2	006	
1996	2000	2005	2006
18%	18%	19%	18%
12%	11%	11%	11%
20%	19%	20%	20%
22%	21%	22%	22%
17%	17%	19%	19%
9%	9%	10%	11%
6%	5%	5%	6%
15%	14%	14%	15%
24%	23%	22%	23%
27%	26%	26%	27%
25%	26%	24%	24%
13%	16%	17%	17%
12%	11%	12%	11%
26%	23%	26%	26%
26%	25%	27%	27%
25%	25%	26%	27%
24%	25%	25%	26%
24%	24%	24%	25%
23%	24%	23%	24%
26%	23%		23%
23%			23%
22%	22%	20%	21%
22%	20%	18%	19%
			13%
18%	17%	19%	20%
			27%
			22%
			17%
			12%
	1996 18% 20% 22% 17% 9% 6% 15% 24% 25% 13% 12% 26% 25% 26% 25% 24% 24% 23% 26% 23%	1996         2000           18%         18%           12%         11%           20%         19%           22%         21%           17%         17%           9%         9%           6%         5%           15%         14%           24%         23%           27%         26%           25%         26%           25%         26%           26%         23%           26%         25%           25%         25%           26%         23%           26%         23%           23%         24%           23%         24%           23%         23%           23%         23%           23%         23%           22%         20%           18%         15%           18%         23%           18%         23%           18%         23%           23%         23%           23%         23%           18%         23%           17%         21%	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$


EBRI Issue Brief No. 308 • August 2007 • www.ebri.org

	Fi	gure 44		
Loan Balances	s as a Percent	tage of 401(k)	Account Bala	inces
		ns, by Particip		
		ry, 1996, 2000		
	1996	2000	, 2005	2006
All	16%	14%	13%	12%
Age Group				
20s	30%	30%	24%	23%
30s	22%	20%	19%	19%
40s	16%	15%	13%	13%
50s	12%	11%	10%	10%
60s	10%	9%	8%	8%
		0,0	0,0	0,0
Tenure (years)	070/	0.40/	000/	040/
0-2	27%	24%	23%	21%
>2-5	24%	25%	21%	20%
>5-10	23%	21%	19%	18%
>10-20	15%	14%	13%	13%
>20-30	11%	10%	9%	9%
>30	7%	8%	8%	7%
Account Size				
<\$10,000	39%	39%	35%	35%
\$10,000-\$20,000	32%	32%	29%	28%
>\$20,000-\$30,000	28%	28%	25%	25%
>\$30,000-\$40,000	23%	24%	22%	22%
>\$40,000-\$50,000	22%	21%	20%	20%
>\$50,000-\$60,000	19%	19%	18%	18%
>\$60,000-\$70,000	16%	17%	16%	16%
>\$70,000-\$80,000	16%	15%	15%	15%
>\$80,000-\$90,000	14%	14%	14%	14%
>\$90,000-\$100,000	13%	13%	13%	13%
>\$100,000-\$200,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	10%
>\$200,000	N/A	N/A	N/A	5%
Salary Range				
\$40,000 or less	17%	19%	18%	17%
\$40,000 or less	17%	19%	18%	14%
>\$60,000-\$80,000				
	15%	13% 12%	13%	12%
>\$80,000-\$100,000	14%	,.	11%	10%
>\$100,000	14%	10%	9%	8%
Source: Tabulations from EBRI/ICI F	anticipant-Directed Re	ettrement Plan Data Co	nection Project.	


Loans F Percentage of	• •	Plans Tend to cipants with loa		006
Loan as a Percentage of		Age (	Group	
Remaining Account Balance	20s	40s	60s	All
Zero (No Loan)	89%	78%	89%	82%
1–10%	2%	8%	5%	6%
>10%-20%	2%	5%	2%	4%
>20–30%	2%	3%	1%	3%
>30–80%	4%	6%	2%	5%
>80%	*	1%	*	*

# EBRI Issue Brief

EBRI Employee Benefit Research Institute Issue Brief (ISSN 0887-137X) is published monthly by the Employee Benefit Research Institute, 2121 K Street, NW, Suite 600, Washington, DC 20037-1896, at \$300 per year or is included as part of a membership subscription. Periodicals postage rate paid in Washington, DC, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: Send address changes to: EBRI Issue Brief, 2121 K Street, NW, Suite 600, Washington, DC 20037-1896. Copyright 2007 by Employee Benefit Research Institute. All rights reserved. No. 308.



contribute to, to encourage, and to enhance the development of sound employee benefit programs and sound public policy through objective research and education. EBRI is the only private, nonprofit, nonpartisan, Washington, DC-based organization committed exclusively to public policy research and education on economic security and employee benefit issues. EBRI's membership includes a cross-section of pension funds; businesses; trade associations; labor unions; health care providers and insurers; government organizations; and service firms.



EBRI's work advances knowledge and understanding of employee benefits and their importance to the nation's economy among policymakers, the news media, and the public. It does this by conducting and publishing policy research, analysis, and special reports on employee benefits issues; holding educational briefings for EBRI members, congressional and federal agency staff, and the news media; and sponsoring public opinion surveys on employee benefit issues. EBRI's Education and Research Fund (EBRI-ERF) performs the charitable, educational, and scientific functions of the Institute. EBRI-ERF is a tax-exempt organization supported by contributions and grants.

*publications* 

EBRI Issue Brief is a periodical providing expert evaluations of employee benefit issues and trends, as well as critical analyses of employee benefit policies and proposals. EBRI Notes is a periodical providing current information on a variety of employee benefit topics. EBRI's Pension Investment Report provides detailed financial information on the universe of defined benefit, defined contribution, and 401(k) plans. EBRI Fundamentals of Employee Benefit Programs offers a straightforward, basic explanation of employee benefit programs in the private and public sectors. EBRI Databook on Employee Benefits is a statistical reference volume on employee benefit programs and work force related issues.



Contact EBRI Publications, (202) 659-0670; fax publication orders to (202) 775-6312. Subscriptions to EBRI Issue Briefs are included as part of EBRI membership, or as part of a \$199 annual subscription to EBRI Notes and EBRI Issue Briefs. Individual copies are available with prepayment for \$25 each (for printed copies) or for \$7.50 (as an e-mailed electronic file) by calling EBRI or from www.ebri.org. Change of Address: EBRI, 2121 K Street, NW, Suite 600, Washington, DC 20037, (202) 659-0670; fax number, (202) 775-6312; e-mail: Publications Subscriptions@ebri.org. Membership Information: Inquiries regarding EBRI membership and/or contributions to EBRI-ERF should be directed to EBRI President/ASEC Chairman Dallas Salisbury at the above address, (202) 659-0670; e-mail: salisbury@ebri.org

Editorial Board: Dallas L. Salisbury, publisher; Steve Blakely, editor. Any views expressed in this publication and those of the authors should not be ascribed to the officers, trustees, members, or other sponsors of the Employee Benefit Research Institute, the EBRI Education and Research Fund, or their staffs. Nothing herein is to be construed as an attempt to aid or hinder the adoption of any pending legislation, regulation, or interpretative rule, or as legal, accounting, actuarial, or other such professional advice.

EBRI Issue Brief is registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. ISSN: 0887-137X/90 0887-137X/90 \$.50+.50

Did you read this as a pass-along? Stay ahead of employee benefit issues with your own subscription to EBRI Issue Brief for only \$89/year electronically e-mailed to you or \$199/year printed and mailed. For more information about subscriptions, visit our Web site at www.ebri.org or complete the form below and return it to EBRI. Name Organization Address City/State/ZIP Mail to: EBRI, 2121 K Street, NW, Suite 600, Washington, DC 20037 or Fax to: (202) 775-6312

© 2007, Employee Benefit Research Institute-Education and Research Fund. All rights reserved.