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Why Is Retirement Readiness Important?

- Economic Realities:
  - Social Security benefit levels are not sustainable given current tax rates
  - Defined benefit pension plans are on the decline
  - A small percentage of Americans:
    - save enough for retirement
    - are expert investors

- What Happens with Non-Retirement Ready Workforces?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact on Workers</th>
<th>Older: Unable to Retire</th>
<th>Younger: Deferred Opportunities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Impact on Employers | ▪ Higher Labor Costs  
▪ Safety & Productivity Concerns  
▪ Higher Mid-career Turnover Costs |
Case Study in Retirement Readiness:
Auto Enroll and Escalation (Large Insurance Company)

Plan Configuration
- Defined Benefit Pension Plan
  1.25% x Final Avg. Pay
- Defined Contribution Plan
  50% Match up to 6% of Pay

New Default Configuration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Year Contribution Rate</th>
<th>New Year Default Contribution Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%, 1% or 2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3% - 5%</td>
<td>4% - 6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6% +</td>
<td>No Change</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results Over a Six Year Period
- Dramatic increase in 401(k) participation!
- Increase in average deferrals!
- Increase in retirement readiness!

Source: Academy of Behavioral Finance & Economics 2014
Exercise 1: Who Do You Select as a Mutual Fund Manager?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Manager A</th>
<th>Manager B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assets Under Management</strong></td>
<td>$20 Billion</td>
<td>$7.2 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clients</strong></td>
<td>Over 100, including sophisticated investors and well-known families</td>
<td>11 Doctors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Results</strong></td>
<td>Average annual 10 years of 25%; volatility 2% / year</td>
<td>Average annual 6 years of 25%; volatility 14% / year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Portfolio</strong></td>
<td>Basket of S&amp;P 100 stocks with options strategy</td>
<td>30–40 stocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Offices</strong></td>
<td>New York and London</td>
<td>Omaha, Nebraska</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HERDING BIAS: Gravitating to an investment opportunity based principally on the fact that many others are doing so.

Manager A
Bernie Madoff, 2001

Manager B
Warren Buffett, 1962
### Have You Seen These Behaviors?

#### Participants
- Spend little time planning for retirement, reviewing progress and a majority regret their decisions
- Don’t optimize employer matching contributions
- Under-choose target date funds
- Under-select annuities
- Over-react to the latest DJIA report
- Few participants access the substantial resources available for:
  - financial goal setting
  - analyzing investment alternatives
  - developing tax strategies
  - learning smart consumer practices

#### Investment Committees
- Think participants make decisions rationally and with relative competence (just like committee members)
- Try to educate participants while conveying a neutral point of view and thereby under-emphasize intelligent choices
- Focus on “how we did lately” and “what is the near term investment outlook”
- Make investment manager picks based on charisma
How People Decide

- Rational thinking is part of the process
  - People are motivated differently
  - People have differing levels of competence, confidence and time availability to improve their health and their consumer choices
  - People use mental shortcuts and rules of thumb to evaluate choices
  - People are influenced by content and by framing
  - People think rationally at times, intuitively at all times and emotionally with every choice they make.

“...to make a decision, emotion is the necessary trigger. Without emotion, one would be reduced to the state of an idiot savant who goes on endlessly calculating without the ability to make a choice.”

1) Behavioral Biases as Barriers and as Bridges to Retirement Readiness

2) Choice Architecture

3) Designing Defaults

4) Hyperbolic Discounting and Consumption v. ROI Framing

5) Workforce Segmentation and Variable Messaging

6) Improving Committee Operating Models to Minimize Behavioral Risk
What’s Going On When Plan Participants Make Sub-optimal Decisions: Human Nature!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mental Shortcuts as BARRIERS TO CHANGE</th>
<th>Examples with Retirement Plans</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Complexity Aversion and Clue Seeking Bias</strong></td>
<td>Look at all of these investment options. Well, the Company must have put the Money Market Fund at the top of the list for a good reason. I will choose it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hyperbolic Discounting</strong></td>
<td>I can spend that 3% of salary on vacation in Orlando or put it away in the 401(k). What is the big deal anyway about compound interest?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sentinel Event Bias</strong></td>
<td>My former boss chose the annuity at retirement and died young. I won’t make the same mistake—give me the lump sum!</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Behavioral Biases Can Be Tapped to Improve Choice-Making

**Mental Shortcuts as BRIDGES TO CHANGE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Availability Heuristic</th>
<th>Examples with Retirement Plans</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Everywhere I look I see messages about “saving for tomorrow,” “tax deferral” and “rising investor confidence.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clue Seeking Bias</th>
<th>Nudging &amp; Simplicity (use obvious cues)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Wealth Building Fund for People Your Age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Balanced Fund</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Click here for: Participant Directed (Expert Investor) Options</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overvaluing Low Probability Events</th>
<th>Are you concerned about:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• outliving your financial nest egg?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• dying young and losing most of your pension?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Click here for the <strong>Value Preservation Option</strong>!</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Everybody Loves Choice!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shoppers who stopped</th>
<th>6 kinds of jams</th>
<th>24 kinds of jams</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shoppers who stopped</th>
<th>6 kinds of jams</th>
<th>24 kinds of jams</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Complexity aversion is a significant behavioral barrier to mitigating longevity risk; annuity product portfolios are complicated.
Countering Complexity Bias

**TWO APPROACHES TO CONFIGURING DISTRIBUTION OPTIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Typical Retirement Plan Election Language</th>
<th>Simplified Retirement Election Language</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• If you are married when your benefit begins, your benefit will be paid to you as a Qualified Joint and Survivor Annuity. A Qualified Joint and Survivor Annuity provides reduced, equal monthly payments to you during your lifetime and, if your spouse lives longer than you, to your spouse for your spouse's lifetime. Although your monthly benefit payments are reduced, the payments to you and the survivor benefit for your spouse have the same actuarial value as the Single Life Annuity described above. If you are married and your spouse consents, you may elect to receive one of the optional forms of payment described below. All of the optional forms of payment have the same actuarial value as the Single Life Annuity.</td>
<td>Choose one of the following monthly income streams for your retirement benefit:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Single Life Annuity: Monthly Benefit = $1,000</td>
<td>□ $667 monthly income while you and your spouse are both living.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Joint and Survivor Annuity = $667, reducing to a $500 survivor benefit</td>
<td>$500 monthly income for your spouse after you pass away.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Under this option, your spouse must sign a notarized consent form.
Framing to Increase Savings Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employee Deferral</th>
<th>Company Match</th>
<th>Total Contribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Typical DC Plan Choice Structure: 50% Match up to 6% of Pay

BEHAVIORAL ECONOMIC SOLUTION

Revised DC Plan Choice Structure: 50% Match up to 6% of Pay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employee Savings Rate</th>
<th>Employer Contribution</th>
<th>Total Contribution</th>
<th>Lost Employer Match</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Implied Messages

- Any contribution other than 0% is good
- The right amount to save is 6% because the company caps its match at 6%
- A total contribution at 9% of pay is sufficient for retirement readiness

Techniques

- Anchor attention toward the higher savings rates.
- Emphasize loss with lower savings rates.
Choice Architecture and Enhanced Active Choice
How you configure choices impacts decisions:

- **Opt - In:** Do you want to be reminded to rebalance your 401(k)? Email us!
- **Opt - Out:** We will remind you to rebalance unless you say no.
- **Active Choice:** Do you want to be reminded to rebalance? Yes or No
- **Enhanced Active Choice:** Do you want to be reminded?
  If you say “No,” chances are:
  - you will forget to rebalance,
  - you will lose your “vigilant investor rating,”
  - your investments may suffer.

Enhanced Active Choice and Flu Shot Compliance

State University Retirement System of Illinois
- 3 complicated retirement plan options (defined benefit, hybrid, defined contribution)
- new hires must decide within 6 months
- decision is permanent

22% Default

Who is less likely to default?
- risk takers
- financially literate
- advanced degrees in subject matter area
- females
- wealthier individuals
- those lacking confidence in state legislature

Why People Default?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deficient Information</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clue Seeing Bias</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deliberate Choice</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procrastination</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Do people regret the plan choice they made?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active choosers</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defaulting people</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: The Downside of Defaults, Jeffrey R. Brown, Anne M. Farrell, Scott J. Weisbenner, NBER, December 2012
How Personalized Defaults Work?

- Define standard(s) for retirement readiness.
  - retirement income > 70% of pre-retirement income
  - wealth > 11 times final average earnings

- Assemble data on accumulated wealth for each individual. (i.e. DC retirement plan balance, personal savings)

- Forecast income streams for each individual. (i.e. social security and pension income)

- Calculate needed future savings for each individual to be retirement ready.

- Set each individual’s default contribution rate for the next plan year at an amount that generates a “retirement-ready” future. (as with all defaults, individuals can change their mind.)
Exercise 2:  
**You Meet a Generous Benefactor, He Says:**

- Congratulations, you have won a prize. I have a $100 bill in my right pocket; I have a bank cashiers note payable for $120 in 365 days.

- Which do you prefer:
  - A $100 bill at this very moment.
  - A $120 cashiers note payable in 365 days from a reputable, solvent, convenient bank.
Exercise 2:
You Meet a Generous Benefactor, He Says:

➢ Other Audience Responses:

Which do you prefer:

- $100 now
  (human resource generalists and most Americans)
- A $120 cashiers note payable in 365 days
  (actuaries, investment managers and advisors)

➢ Hyperbolic Discounting: In consumer choice-making, the preference of smaller - immediate rewards over later - larger rewards to a much greater extent than a present value analysis would indicate.

➢ Experts Certainty Bias: The tendency of experts to:
  - ignore data contrary to their informed experience and
  - believe that most people analyze choices just as they do.
Countering Hyperbolic Discounting
(Encouraging People to Save)

How do we help a 30 year old view what their life will be like at age 70?

Participants who saw their *current* selves contributed 4.4% toward retirement

Participants who saw their *future* selves contributed 6.2% toward retirement

Employees are Savvy Consumers; not Great Investors

1. **Construct a bridge between life today and in retirement!**
2. **Focus as much on consumption as asset accumulation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Save 9% of pay</th>
<th>Save 6% of pay</th>
<th>Save 2% of pay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eat steak and lobster; live the good life!</td>
<td>Eat hamburger.</td>
<td>Eat processed bologna for the rest of your life.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel to exotic locations; live the good life!</td>
<td>Travel to a nearby resort.</td>
<td>Vacation at your local community center.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sub-optimal Investment Decisions
*Countering Regret Aversion*

**With Panic Comes a Shift in Investment Style**

- **Investor Style and Recent Performance**
  - Capital Preservation v. Yield Seeking Style
  - Substantial Recent Loss or Gain Relative to Benchmark

**Investment Objective:**
- **ASSET PRESERVATION**
- **YIELD SEEKING**

**When Recent Returns are Well BELOW Target**
- Natural Tendency: Conservative Investing
- Risk Seeking Behaviors with Familiarity Bias

**Potential Nudge:** Auto-rebalance with messages of vigilance

**When Recent Returns are Well ABOVE Target**
- Natural Tendency: Aggressive Investing
- Risk Avoidant Behavior

**Potential Nudge:** Lost opportunity regret with messages of opportunity

Source: Adapted by Sibson Consulting from Xi Zou, London Business School. Sibson uses “investor style” in a narrower context than Zou’s uses of “Prevention” and “Promotion” biases in a broad “life motivation” context (Personal Communication, 2013).
Variable Messaging v. “One Size Fits All” Communication

- **Familiarity Bias:** Nudge → Reframe the Information
  - Little Time
  - Experienced Investor

- **Choice Supportive Bias:** Nudge → Show differences in results in different historical periods
  - Moderate Time
  - Experienced Investor

- **Comparative Competence Bias:** Nudge → Use Defaults
  - Little Time
  - Inexperienced Investor

- **Complexity Bias:** Nudge → Convey Peer Group Norms
  - Moderate Time, Inexperienced Investor

- **Time Allocated to Planning**
  - Little Time: 5 to 10 Minutes
  - Moderate Time: 30 to 60 minutes
  - Significant Time

- **Subject Matter Expertise**
  - Expert
  - Experienced
  - Inexperienced

Source: Sibson Consulting 2014
Committee Biases

**Expert’s Certainty Bias**
“Sure, a lot of people are prone to biases, but not us.”

**Availability Heuristic**
“The good news is everywhere; the S&P 500 continues to rise; the DJIA is at an “all time” high. The forecast is bullish. I know we are outside of IPS guidelines; so let’s change the guidelines.”

**IDEA:** Team building exercises.

**IDEA:** Assign a committee member to take a contrarian view.
Suggestions for Investment Committees

Creating High Performing Teams

- Form Committees with Diverse Perspectives
- Conduct Periodic Training on Bias Avoidance and Framing Effects
- Align Advisors’ Framings with Investment Policy
- Execute an Operating Model Designed to Avoid Making Suboptimal Decisions
The there are a number of documented behavioral biases that impact human behavior.

These biases have a substantial influence upon investment and financial decisions.

They impact individuals, Committees, and Markets.

There are ways to minimize the impacts for your participants and plan assets.

- Understand these biases and how they operate.
- Appropriately frame information.
- Apply contrarian thinking.
- Create high performing teams.

Have a frank and thoughtful discussion about your organizational goals, benefits philosophy and ethical concerns about influencing workforce behavior.
Appendix
Emotion is critical to decision making; recognize that different people are motivated differently.

### Motivation Basis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motivation Basis</th>
<th>Emotional Triggers Leading to a Response</th>
<th>Methods to Gain Attention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intrinsic Reward Seekers</td>
<td>Appeal to Intellect and to Personal Curiosity</td>
<td>Inspire Thinking about New Possibilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extrinsic Reward Seekers</td>
<td>Appeal to Financial Gain and Personal Vanity</td>
<td>Provide Incentives and Socialization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disengaged</td>
<td>▪ Instill Fear</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Convey Hope</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Sibson Consulting
Behavioral Economics and Choice Reconfiguration

- Influence attitudes about “saving and investing.” Add “consumption” frames of reference
- Initiate behavior change with pledges and pre-commitments
- Couple economic incentives with easy election processes
- Frame and time choices to counter:
  - procrastination
  - hyperbolic discounting
- Leverage:
  - optimism bias
  - loss aversion and lost opportunity regret
- Counter probability neglect with emotional stories
- Recognize the power of defaults and of small, relevant active choices
- Create variable choice architectures based on individual preferences and expertise

Loss Aversion

Gains, Losses, and Utility

Source: Prospect Theory; Daniel Kahneman
How to Influence Participant Behavior Change to Improve Retirement Readiness & Financial Wellbeing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Establish Personal Relevance</th>
<th>Trigger Emotional Reactions</th>
<th>Define Clear Choices and Convey Value</th>
<th>Ask a Small Related Request</th>
<th>Channel to the Best Resource</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Establish personal relevance by using familiar references and consistent branding. Nudge formal and informal leaders who are admired and liked.</td>
<td>Trigger emotional responses (hope, fear, excitement, adventure, love, greed, caring).</td>
<td>Define clear choices about trade-offs between financial options and quality of life. Convey value in making smart choices: ✅ live well ✅ care for others</td>
<td>Ask employees to complete a small task related to a larger goal: ✓ pledge to save more for tomorrow ✓ visit the retirement planning website ✓ pay back the loan to yourself quickly</td>
<td>Channel employees to the best high quality / easy-to-use resource available: ✓ retirement calculators ✓ pre-retirement counselors ✓ financial advisors Design and frame defaults carefully.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reinforce Positive Behaviors
Exercise 3: Apply Evaluation Criteria to Select a Fund Manager

**SCENARIO:**
Select Mutual Fund X or Y based on these evaluation criteria and scoring.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trustee 1</th>
<th>Trustee 2</th>
<th>Trustee 3</th>
<th>Trustee 1</th>
<th>Trustee 2</th>
<th>Trustee 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fund X</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund Y</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMON SELECTION RULE:**
Select Highest Weighted Average Score

- Fund X Average = 7.83
- Fund Y Average = 7.83

➢ Now, what do you do?
Investment Committee Decision Rules

**Common Rule Used for Resolution:**
- Select the Proposal Winning “Majority of Criterion”

![Table showing performance history and style consistency & management scores for Trustees 1, 2, and 3 for Fund X and Fund Y.](image)

**Fund Y wins the majority of the contests.**

![Table showing performance and management scores for Trustee 1, 2, and 3 for Fund A and Fund B.](image)

**IDEA:** When scoring is close, determine if there is a framing effect.

**EVEN THOUGH THE SCORING IS IDENTICAL:**
- Grouping at Trustee Level: Fund A wins
- Grouping at Criterion Level: Fund Y wins